WE HAVE NO SHAREHOLDERS AND OPERATE SOLELY ON BEHALF OF OUR CUSTOMERS, BOTH NOW AND IN THE FUTURE

Dŵr Cymru provides water supply and sewerage services to over three million people living and working in much of Wales, Herefordshire and Deeside. Our primary responsibility is to operate, maintain and upgrade the £30 billion network of assets we look after on behalf of our customers so as to ensure a safe and reliable drinking water supply and to deal effectively with waste water in order to protect the environment.
Our only purpose is to deliver the best possible outcomes for our customers, supplying drinking water of the highest quality and safeguarding the environment that we look after on behalf of the communities we serve. Moreover, we must do so affordably.

On most of the measures that matter for our customers and other stakeholders, our performance now ranks Dŵr Cymru towards the top of industry league tables. The quality of the drinking water we supply remains very high and in 2012 we achieved a turnaround in our environmental performance, though there remains more to do. Customer satisfaction with our service was consistently over 90% and last year for the first time we received more thank-you letters and emails from our customers than complaints.

This is the result of a lot of hard work by everyone who works for Dŵr Cymru – day and night, and in all weathers – and on behalf of the Board I would like to say thank you for this dedication and effort.

Financial reserves – or ‘customer equity’ – have grown ten fold since 2001 and the Company has the highest credit ratings in the utilities sector. Having a strong financial position means we are able to raise funds efficiently for continuing high levels of investment, to maintain and improve services, while at the same time keeping customer bills affordable. The average household bill is today lower in real terms than it was in 2000 while we also give extra help to our least well off customers.

Strong and effective corporate governance and Board leadership has been and continues to be key to what we aim to achieve for the three million people who rely on us every day for the most essential of public services.

As set out in detail later in this Report, we are fortunate to benefit from a group of Non-Executive Directors with a wide range of backgrounds and skills which complement each other, so that the Board provides a forum for frank and constructive discussion. This has been especially important as we support the company in its consultation on its future business plan.

The Board meets regularly (on ten occasions each year formally, and where circumstances demand a more rapid response, for ad hoc meetings by telephone). We ensure there are ample opportunities to meet with representatives of the different operational areas of the business and to attend site visits. During 2012/13, the then Chairman met with each Director individually to provide feedback and to address any knowledge development needs.

Succession planning is a key responsibility for the Board and we were pleased to announce in June that, following a thorough and competitive process, Chris Jones would succeed Nigel Annett as Chief Executive in September this year. Nigel and Chris are the founder directors of Glas Cymru and have been executive directors of Dŵr Cymru since 2001.
Over the coming year the Board will work with the new CEO to ensure that the roles and responsibilities of the respective Directors are clearly established. The Nomination Committee of the Board is currently undertaking a further competitive process to identify a suitable successor to me as Non Executive Director, as I intend to step down from the Board in the course of the next calendar year.

On behalf of the Board I would like to thank Nigel for everything that he has achieved for Dŵr Cymru over the last 12 years. He played a central role in establishing Glas Cymru and has then led with distinction and successfully an important company that operates under what is still a unique ownership model in the water industry. Nigel leaves the Company in very good shape and in safe hands for the future.
Performance overall was the best it has been and this was achieved despite challenging weather conditions. This is the result of a lot of hard work by a great team of dedicated people in Dŵr Cymru.

We continue to improve in all that we do and we are a better and more capable organisation than we were a year ago. Our drive to be the best possible water company for our customers lies at the heart of all that we stand for.

Nigel Annett, Managing Director
13 June 2013

Financial reserves – or ‘customer equity’ – have risen ten fold since 2001 and now stand at £1.6 billion. With financial gearing reduced again to 63% – down from 93% in 2001 – the Company has the highest credit rating in the utilities sector. This strong financial position allows us to raise long term funds for investment at low cost – and only for the benefit of our customers. Under our ownership model high credit ratings align the interests of our investors – mainly UK based pension funds and life assurance companies – with our customers.

Our ambition is to make full use of the advantages of our very different ownership model to deliver the best possible outcomes for our customers – supplying drinking water of the highest quality and safeguarding the environment that we look after on behalf of the communities that we serve. Last year was another year of significant progress towards this goal.

Our customers continue to benefit from our unique ‘not-for-profit’ ownership model. The average household bill is today lower in real terms than it was in the year before Glas Cymru secured the ownership of Dŵr Cymru, while we also now help more than 52,000 of our less well off household customers with their bills through a range of affordability tariffs and other means. Stringent tap water quality standards are being met and our rivers and coastal waters are amongst the best in the UK. Customer satisfaction with our service is consistently over 90% and last year we received more thank-you letters and emails from our customers than complaints; when we get it wrong we say so and do our best to put things right. We are proud of the quality of service we offer our customers and we receive many compliments about our ‘warm voice’ service based in Wales.

Our ambition is to make full use of the advantages of our very different ownership model to deliver the best possible outcomes for our customers – supplying drinking water of the highest quality and safeguarding the environment that we look after on behalf of the communities that we serve. Last year was another year of significant progress towards this goal.
The one index where our performance was below industry average was the Distribution Maintenance Index (which measures water quality in the water distribution system and focuses on those parameters responsible for causing discoloured water as a result of turbidity, iron and/or manganese). Our 2012 performance for this index was 99.84%, which was below our internal target of 99.95% and also below the industry average of 99.89% as a result of 15 failures against the standard for iron in samples taken during 2012 (2011: 11 failures).

We also received more contacts from customers about discoloured tap water and the rate for 2012 increased to 2.1 per 1,000 customers (2.0 in 2011); this follows several years of steady improvement on this measure of customer satisfaction with our tap water. Since 2001 we have renewed or relined 4,000km of unlined iron water mains but more than 6,500km remain and mitigating the risk of discoloured water from these mains, particularly following a burst or any other change in network flow, is a current priority that will feature in our future plans.

The quality of the water we supply is continuously monitored at our water treatment works and in addition, over the course of a year, more than 750,000 tests are carried out on samples taken from our water sources (lakes, rivers and reservoirs) and from points across the water supply system (including customers’ kitchen taps) to verify quality. 300,000 tests are carried out on drinking water samples in accordance with regulatory requirements. All these results are submitted to the Drinking Water Inspectorate (‘DWI’) and are assessed against water quality standards set by EU Directives and national legislation.

In 2012 the high quality of the water we supply was maintained, with 99.96% of samples taken and analysed meeting all drinking water quality standards at customers’ taps. We achieved our internal targets on 4 of the 6 indices used by DWI to measure water quality compliance at each point on the water supply system; we also achieved better than industry average performance on 5 of the 6 indices.

The quality of the water we supply is continuously monitored at our water treatment works and in addition, over the course of a year, more than 750,000 tests are carried out on samples taken from our water sources (lakes, rivers and reservoirs) and from points across the water supply system (including customers’ kitchen taps) to verify quality. 300,000 tests are carried out on drinking water samples in accordance with regulatory requirements. All these results are submitted to the Drinking Water Inspectorate (‘DWI’) and are assessed against water quality standards set by EU Directives and national legislation.

In 2012 the high quality of the water we supply was maintained, with 99.96% of samples taken and analysed meeting all drinking water quality standards at customers’ taps. We achieved our internal targets on 4 of the 6 indices used by DWI to measure water quality compliance at each point on the water supply system; we also achieved better than industry average performance on 5 of the 6 indices.
The overall aim of the Partnership is to provide a forum for the consistent understanding and management of public health related water quality issues. In addition to routine networking and information sharing, during 2012 the Partnership developed guidance on the provision of drinking water to large temporary events like the National Eisteddfod and on reducing lead in drinking water as well as running several public health emergency exercises.

**Water Health Partnership for Wales**
The Water Health Partnership for Wales, established by Dŵr Cymru in 2006, continues to be an important forum for health and water professionals from across Wales. It brings together water companies, regulators, local authorities, health professionals and Welsh Government officials to share information and learning to improve inter-agency knowledge of public health and drinking water quality issues.

Our accelerated investment programme to improve the reliability of our water supply network – based on our Drinking Water Safety Plans – continues to make good progress and last year we completed schemes at Bala and Llyswen and we are currently on site at Coed Dowlyd, a new service reservoir which will give 70,000 customers in the Colwyn Bay area additional security of supply. The additional investment of £75 million under our ‘Go to Green’ programme to rebuild, refurbish or upgrade 16 water treatment works across Wales is on track and planning permission was recently granted for brand new water treatment works at Llyn Conwy and Dolbenmaen, both in North Wales.

**New water quality laboratories**
During 2012 we completed successfully the complex and ambitious project of in-sourcing laboratory services from the previous contract provider, building and commissioning a new microbiology laboratory at Bretton in North Wales and a new purpose-built chemistry, microbiology and cryptosporidium laboratory at Newport in South Wales. Together these state-of-the-art facilities provide us with the analytical testing facility for all drinking water samples taken across Dŵr Cymru’s supply area.

The new laboratories are staffed with 50 scientists which has strengthened further our scientific capability. The Bretton laboratory gained UKAS accreditation in its first year of operation which is testament to the calibre and hard work of our team.

The overall aim of the Partnership is to provide a forum for the consistent understanding and management of public health related water quality issues. In addition to routine networking and information sharing, during 2012 the Partnership developed guidance on the provision of drinking water to large temporary events like the National Eisteddfod and on reducing lead in drinking water as well as running several public health emergency exercises.
RELIABLE SANITATION

As important for public health is safe and reliable sanitation, taking away wastewater and protecting both properties and our environment from flooding and pollution.

WE ARE NOW RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING MORE THAN 30,000KM OF SEWERS

‘Let’s Stop the Block’ campaign
Blockages account for around 80% of sewer flooding and pollution incidents in our region. A good proportion of these blockages are the result of the build-up of every day items, such as flushed away baby-wipes, nappies and sanitary products, and fat, oil and grease poured down the sink. This misuse of our sewers is more often than not due to a lack of awareness about what can and cannot be flushed or poured away, and a lack of understanding of the consequences. The aim of our ‘Let’s Stop the Block’ campaign is to drive down the number and cost of blockages, sewer flooding and pollution by tackling the root cause, raising awareness, boosting our customers’ understanding and inspiring our customers to act differently.

Our ‘Let’s Stop the Block’ campaign was launched in Rhondda Cynon Taff and Caerphilly – blockage hotspots – and has involved media coverage, local advertising, schools roadshows and a series of community events organised in collaboration with a local radio station and Coleg Morgannwg. Our pledging website – letsstoptheblock.com – underpins the whole campaign. Initial analysis shows a big reduction in blockages in the target areas and we will be doing further work to embed the message before rolling the campaign out to other hotspots later this year.

Following the transfer of private sewers to the regulated water and sewerage companies in England and Wales in October 2011, we are now responsible for maintaining more than 30,000km of sewers, a near doubling of our sewer network.

Much of our sewer network is old and often in poor condition and one of our biggest challenges is to minimise the impact of any blockages and other problems on the network. Following the transfer of private sewers we now deal with more than 2,000 blockages each month, a doubling of workload. We continue to improve our response times and in over 99% of cases we manage to deal with the blockage before it causes property flooding or a pollution incident.

Delivered 26 schemes to reduce sewer flooding
£20m cost of operating and maintaining private sewers

99% of blockages dealt with without flooding
PERFORMANCE

During the year we invested a total of £43 million on our sewer network, while in total we have – between October 2011 and March 2013 – incurred capital and operating costs of £20 million on operating and maintaining the transferred private sewers.

We estimate that there are over 800 private sewage pumping stations that will transfer to Dŵr Cymru in March 2016 and we are currently surveying these in poor condition assets with a view to an orderly transfer over the next three years.

By taking over responsibility for both private sewers and sewage pumping stations we are serving the public interest as well as removing a source of worry for many of our customers. The cost of these transfers will be reflected in our plans that will be submitted to Ofwat later this year ahead of the next regulatory price review in 2014.

We are witnessing more frequent extreme weather events and our plans for the future must cater for this change in weather patterns. We have developed an industry leading approach to retrofitting sustainable drainage systems to capture, divert or slow down the rate at which rainwater enters our sewer network. This approach not only reduces the risk of flooding or pollution from overloaded sewers following heavy rainfall, it also helps to create a greener and better local environment. We have branded this programme ‘Rainscape’. It involves us working closely with local communities to agree how these improvements are delivered.

One of our biggest schemes is in Llanelli where, because of surface water drainage, flows in the sewer network are as high as the neighbouring catchment of Swansea which is three times bigger. The first phase, now underway and costing £12 million, will divert rainfall into natural swales and other green areas, reducing by 20% the volume of sewer overflow spills into the environmentally sensitive Loughor Estuary as well as reducing the risk of flooding and enabling economic development.

During the year there were six storms with a return period of greater than ‘1 in 30 years’ and flows in our combined sewer network were at unprecedented levels. Despite this, the total number of flooding incidents was only slightly higher than in the previous year (provisionally 221 incidents, up from 186 in 2011/12). However, this measure of performance excludes flooding as a result of extreme storms and there were a further 35 flooding incidents from hydraulically overloaded sewers during extreme storms; we now have just over 100 properties which have suffered repeat internal flooding from overloaded sewers in the last 10 years, including incidents as a result of extreme weather.

We know which properties are at risk of repeat flooding during less extreme weather and during the year we delivered 26 schemes to reduce the number of properties on our ‘at risk register’ to just over 200 properties.

Much of our sewer network is ‘combined’ and drains both wastewater from properties and surface water from roads and roofs. Last year’s very wet weather – the third wettest on record – put considerable additional pressures on our sewer network and on our sewerage teams across the business.

RAINSCAPE; AN INDUSTRY LEADING APPROACH TO DEAL WITH SURFACE WATER
Pollution incidents
Reducing the number of pollution incidents as a result of blockages or other problems on our sewer and wastewater treatment works network has been a priority for us and last year we achieved the target set by the Board and cut the total number of incidents by 18% from 246 to 205. There were no ‘category 1’ pollution incidents and 6 serious ‘category 2’ incidents and we reported 47% of all incidents ourselves, just behind our target of 50% and well ahead of the figure of 37% in 2011.

We continue to work hard to reduce the number of pollution incidents caused by blockages on our now much larger sewer network following the transfer of private sewers. We have installed some 1,000 state-of-the-art ‘Cello’ units (with a further 1,000 to be installed before 2015) which allow us to monitor flows in our sewer network; this data is then used by our ‘real time’ modelling team to predict the performance of our sewer network in order to prevent pollution or flooding incidents. During the year we established another four CCTV crews – taking the total to eight – ensuring that we are not only better equipped to respond quickly to problems on the sewer network but can also gain a better understanding of the condition and performance of the network. We also have ‘river rangers’ who walk and inspect our sewers located close to river courses and we have good relations with an increasing number of angling and canoe clubs who can help us by giving us early warning of any problems with our network.

Operating our estate of ageing wastewater treatment works so that we continue to protect our rivers and coastal waters – which are among the best in the UK – is one of our toughest jobs. Last year we turned around performance and only 8 of our 838 wastewater treatment works failed to comply with discharge permits; we achieved 100% compliance with the key ‘look-up’ standard for permit compliance. This is a marked improvement on 2011 when 26 works failed one or more consents and is due to a lot of hard work supported by additional targeted investment in refurbishing close to 50 of our worst condition treatment works. The much improved compliance with environmental permits has been acknowledged by our environmental regulator, Natural Resources Wales.

Continuing to renew and improve our wastewater treatment works estate will remain a priority for many years to come. We invested £70 million last year on maintaining and upgrading wastewater treatment works and during the year we completed 41 schemes including at Swansea Bay where we renewed the pumping stations in a £4 million scheme ahead of the 2013 summer season. We are currently on site or about to commence work at nearly 60 locations across our region, including at Heswall on the Wirral, where improvements to the storm water system will reduce the number of spills to the environmentally sensitive Dee Estuary.
Coastal water quality
Ensuring our wastewater treatment works and our sewer network safeguard our rivers and coastal waters from pollution is essential, not least because of the importance of our environment for the economy of Wales. Tourism accounts for some 13% of GDP and employment in Wales, and around a third of all day-trips in Wales go to the coast. Wales, with just 15% of the British coastline, secured 33 Blue Flag awards for the 2013 summer season, a third of the total awarded to all of the UK. This is down from the 43 Blue Flag awards for the 2012 season as a result of last summer’s very wet weather and the resulting run-off from agricultural land and spills from our sewer network as well as the Revised Bathing Waters Directive which now sets much tighter water quality standards for achieving a Blue Flag award.

Last year we provided ‘real time’ spill warnings for visitors at three beaches in Pembrokeshire and for the 2013 season we are increasing this to 25 beaches. We are also trialing mobile phone apps and ‘real time’ water quality monitoring technology with the aim of providing still better information on coastal water quality for visitors to beaches around the coast of Wales.

Water resources
Around 98% of the water we supply to our customers is abstracted from rivers in Wales, most of which are regulated by our reservoirs. Ensuring that no more than necessary is taken from these important rivers is crucial for their continued high ecological quality. The amount we abstract for public water supply has been falling steadily and is now some 25% lower than in the mid 1990s. However, the expected impact of climate change alongside the requirements of the Habitats Directive means that we must plan for possible reductions in the amount we abstract for public water supply during the driest years in future.

We have published our Draft Water Resources Management Plan which not only sets out how we might meet these requirements to reduce the quantity of water we take from the environment but also indicates where we might be able to develop surplus water resources to support economic development in our region or for export to a neighbouring region.

A key part of our plans to balance the supply of water from the environment and the demand for water from our customers is to continue to reduce leakage from our water supply network. We find and fix on average more than 100 bursts and leaks every day and last year we again achieved our leakage target; since the 1990s we have halved leakage on our water supply system.

Energy and carbon efficiency
Dŵr Cymru is one of the biggest energy users in Wales and our aim is to steadily reduce our net energy consumption and our carbon emissions through efficiencies and through generation of green energy from our network of assets. We currently generate from Advanced Anaerobic Digestion of sludge and other renewable sources around 8% of our energy needs and we remain on track to achieve our target of 15% from these sources by 2015.

Last year we commissioned a new anaerobic digestion plant at our Five Fords wastewater treatment works at Wrexham which added 1,200kW to our renewable generation capacity. We also expanded our solar and hydro portfolio with six further installations while at the same time we continue to improve the energy efficiency of pumps and other equipment across our estate.

However, because of last year’s wet weather – and in South Wales rainfall was double that of the previous two years – our wastewater treatment and pumping power costs were 11% higher than budget. Lower energy use elsewhere only partially offset this increase and for 2012/13 our total electricity use was 420GWh, 2% higher than in the previous year. The very wet weather also meant that energy generation from our advanced anaerobic digestion plants was 11% lower than in the previous year. Because use of electricity accounts for 85% of our carbon emissions these too were 2% higher than last year.

Independent Environment Advisory Panel
We have established our Independent Environment Advisory Panel which comprises over 20 organisations with an interest in our environment and Dŵr Cymru’s role in protecting our rivers and coastal waters as well as more generally. The Panel met 4 times last year and has helped us to shape our science and research agenda as well as our priorities for investment in the years ahead. The Panel has also supported our catchment based studies which will help us to establish the most cost effective way of meeting the requirements of the Water Framework Directive.
Last year we sent out more than 600,000 text messages to let customers know that we were dealing with the problem – like a burst water main – that was affecting their service and to keep them informed with our progress. We call back around 5,000 customers each month for whom we have carried out work to check that they are content with our service and that the job was completed satisfactorily; all customer calls to our contact centre are recorded to allow monitoring for quality of service. Our experienced front line staff have worked on refreshing a number of customer facing processes, putting themselves in the customer’s shoes, and these improved ‘customer journeys’ have then been rolled out across the business.

We continue to improve our website and last year we added a new ‘postcode driven’ emergency and planned work section; our text messages include a link to the website which we keep updated.

Water supply interruptions
We have employed new technologies to limit the amount of time that customers might have their water supply interrupted because of necessary work on the network; this includes pipe-freezing and working under pressure so that repairs can be carried out without decommissioning the system.

Last year we made excellent progress and independent research carried out each month shows customer satisfaction is consistently over 90%. This was confirmed by Ofwat’s independent quarterly research which gave Dŵr Cymru a customer satisfaction score of 92% and ranked Dŵr Cymru second on the industry league table for this key measure.

The number of customer written complaints fell again last year to 3,652 which is a more than 70% reduction over the last three years; for the first time we received more written thank-you letters and compliments from our customers than complaints. The number of unwanted customer contacts – for example as a result of a customer chasing progress on a job – fell again for the fourth year in a row and was 75% lower last year than it was in 2009/10.

This sector leading performance is the result of a lot of hard work and a growing capability and the successful implementation of a host of initiatives and improvements alongside a customer service culture and purpose which puts our customers first. Each depot has a case manager whose job it is to ensure that customers are kept informed with the progress of the job we are doing for them. Customer service and other training means that our operational call centre staff are now better able to give high quality advice when customers call us.

// As the only water and sewerage company owned on behalf of its customers, we are determined to be one of the best performers in the utilities industry on day to day customer service.
WE HELP 52,000 OF OUR LEAST WELL OFF HOUSEHOLD CUSTOMERS TO PAY THEIR WATER BILL

Keeping to a minimum the amount of time customers are without tap water because of a burst water main or other planned work on the network is an essential part of our service. Last year’s performance was affected by a number of major trunk main bursts and the average number of minutes of lost supply for our customers was significantly increased from the previous year’s figures.

We are also continuing to investigate the extent to which performance in respect of water supply interruptions was affected by particular issues we have identified in one of our distribution areas. This investigation involves reviewing all interruptions to supply in this one distribution area last year. Despite very few customer calls at the time, there appear to have been some additional unplanned interruptions where the loss of supply lasted longer than 12 hours, and as a consequence the customers affected by these long interruptions were entitled to compensation. We are writing to say sorry to each of the customers affected and we are paying compensation in line with our Guaranteed Standards Scheme.

Dŵr Cymru Customer Services

Our commitment to delivering excellent customer service lay behind our decision to establish Dŵr Cymru Customer Services (‘DCCS’) in August last year, ending the previous outsourced arrangements. DCCS is a subsidiary company with its own board and employs 400 colleagues whose focus is billing, account queries, meter reading, effective debt management and support for our vulnerable customers.

Last year we invested £3 million to upgrade our debt collection system and we are now able to target affordability support more quickly and effectively as well as introducing a wider range of sanctions to reduce debt, including litigation through the courts when we need to.

For our business customers, DCCS provides a dedicated account management function so that every large customer has a named contact in the event of a problem or query. Last year the team launched a range of new services which now enable business customers to access ‘real time’ data on their water usage; we also offer leak detection services and advice on efficient water use and how to reduce bills. Two independent surveys of our business customers last year showed 89% and 87% satisfaction with our service and value for money.

Around 30% of our household customers spend more than 3% of their disposable income on their water and sewerage bill; almost 15% of our customers spend more than 5% of their income on their bill. Through our range of assistance tariffs and other help we now help 52,000 of our least well off household customers to pay their water bill; we believe this is more than any other water company.

We have also developed good relationships with Registered Social Landlords and Local Authorities in Wales and we now have 11 schemes in place which enable our customers to pay their water bill along with their rent. The DCCS team has also established a partnership with Community Housing Cymru and Moneyline Cymru, funding a money adviser based in Merthyr Tydfil.
We encourage conservation best practice across our landholdings and all our main reservoirs have biodiversity management plans. At Llyn Brenig we are planning to link two Sites of Special Scientific Interest with a corridor for wildlife, and we have a project to attract nesting ospreys.

In the Brecon Beacons we are encouraging less intensive grazing regimes and are re-landscaping the margins of water bodies to promote habitats and to improve water quality.

Supporting education continues to be one of our main areas of community support. During the last academic year nearly 27,000 young people passed through one of our four education centres or attended a session led by one of our teachers. Our education centres are staffed by full time seconded teachers and we use our indoor and outdoor facilities to teach primary school children about the importance of water and our environment.

THIS YEAR WE OPENED A CYCLING CENTRE AT OUR LLYN BRENIG VISITOR CENTRE IN NORTH WALES

We have developed facilities for a range of activities including cycling, fishing, sailing, canoeing, and windsurfing and many sites have bird watching hides, picnic areas, walks and nature trails.

This year we have opened a cycling centre at our Llyn Brenig visitor centre on the Denbigh Moors. We have two other visitor centres at Llys y Fran, near Haverfordwest, and the Elan Valley in mid Wales and we are building a fourth facility at our Llandegfedd Reservoir near Newport. To enhance the visitor experience we are developing ‘apps’ for smart phones as part of the Visit Wales ‘digital tourism’ initiative. Our team of rangers lead a variety of activities throughout the year – including archeological expeditions, star-gazing evenings, fly-fishing courses, charity cycling events and sponsored walks, boat trips, and guided nature walks and wildlife tracking – which foster Dŵr Cymru’s standing with our customers and the communities we serve.
We provide £400,000 for not-for-profit organisations to help improve water quality of lakes, rivers and waterways

Last July we announced that we would provide matched funding of £400,000 for not-for-profit organisations to help improve the quality of Welsh rivers, lakes and waterways and create a more vibrant and healthy environment for people and wildlife within their supply area. Projects supported by this initiative include ‘Love our Lake’, where the communities of Nant Peris, Llanberis and Fachwen are helping to improve the water quality of Llyn Padarn which suffered an algal bloom in 2009; the Monmouthshire Olway and Trothy Project, where farming families and community agents are working to reduce nutrient and fine sediment loadings in the River Wye catchment so as to improve habitats; and the Upper Tywi catchment restoration project which is researching and trialing lime dosing to restore pH balance of the river and reverse the effects of acid rain.
Health and safety
The health, safety and wellbeing of everyone who works for our company will always be a priority for us and last year our safety performance continued to improve. The number of reportable injuries fell to 24 (from 29 in the previous year) while the number of working days lost due to injury was 60% lower. This improving trend was achieved notwithstanding the marked increase in workload last year, with the average number of employees and contractors covered by our safety monitoring up by 16%, with a 25% increase in number of hours worked. We carried out our second occupational health surveillance programme which benefited nearly 1,000 colleagues across the business and allowed us to identify and act on potential health problems early; the number of days lost per person due to illness was lower last year. We continue to stress the importance of ‘near miss’ reporting as a ‘lead indicator’ and that improved again last year; we captured over 13,000 potentially ‘unsafe acts and conditions’ last year which we used as learning opportunities to improve and reinforce safety awareness.

We have a talented and committed team that can be relied upon to ‘go the extra mile’ to ensure that the public service our customers rely on us for is at all times safe and dependable. We all understand the importance of what we do for public health as well as the risks that come with looking after what is a 24/7 and asset intensive industry. The fact that we are owned and managed on behalf of the three million people who rely on us day in day out for the most essential of public services is a key feature in our culture and at the heart of what we stand for.
We were delighted to be the first large employer to be awarded the Welsh Government’s Employer Pledge Award for our Essential Skills programme which we developed in partnership with the TUC. The enhanced numeracy, literacy and IT skills that more than 150 colleagues now have will mean we can make full use of the new technology we are using across our business to improve the service we give our customers.

We are building a strong succession pipeline with over 70 apprentices, graduates, trainee inspectors and chartered engineers on one of our programmes. We enjoy a high reputation as an employer which ensures that we can attract and retain the best. The staff engagement survey also told us that 83% say they are “proud to work for Dŵr Cymru” while 82% agree that “Dŵr Cymru puts customers first”. These are encouraging findings that support our view that we are building a strong customer focused culture in Dŵr Cymru.

We continue to develop and deliver our ‘Journey to Zero’ strategy which aims to ensure that good occupational health and safety practice is embedded in all that we do. We have implemented a host of initiatives in support of this strategy, including for instance Pristine Condition manual handling training, which has benefited nearly 2,000 colleagues, and a behavioural safety training programme. Our recent staff engagement survey confirmed that colleagues do recognise the importance of safety with 95% agreeing with the statement ‘I know what is required of me with regards to health and safety’ and 91% agreeing with the statement ‘Health and safety is taken seriously at Dŵr Cymru’; these were the two highest scores in the survey.

Staff engagement survey
The response rate to our second staff engagement survey was 77% and the overall ‘staff engagement index score’ was 76%; this compares with the private sector benchmark of 70% and a score of 69% in the first staff survey which we carried out in 2011 following the ending of the operational outsourcing contracts in 2010.

86% of respondents agreed with the statement “I believe in Dŵr Cymru and what it stands for” while 80% agreed with the statement “Working at Dŵr Cymru makes me do the best work I can”. This welcome improvement in feedback from colleagues follows considerable effort to build staff engagement – including better communication with more talk-back sessions, road-shows and the launch of ‘Dŵr’ our new in-house staff newspaper – and tackling complaints about poor facilities at our depots with our ‘You said we did’ initiative.

We have also successfully implemented a new ‘progression in role’ pay structure alongside our continued focus on performance management. We continue to offer opportunities for development at every level, including Cranfield Business School for our future leaders, our City and Guilds accredited Competent Operator programme and our Institute of Customer Service accredited ‘How to Wow’ programme for customer facing staff.

We were delighted to be the first large employer to be awarded the Welsh Government’s Employer Pledge Award for our Essential Skills programme which we developed in partnership with the TUC. The enhanced numeracy, literacy and IT skills that more than 150 colleagues now have will mean we can make full use of the new technology we are using across our business to improve the service we give our customers.

We are building a strong succession pipeline with over 70 apprentices, graduates, trainee inspectors and chartered engineers on one of our programmes. We enjoy a high reputation as an employer which ensures that we can attract and retain the best. The staff engagement survey also told us that 83% say they are “proud to work for Dŵr Cymru” while 82% agree that “Dŵr Cymru puts customers first”. These are encouraging findings that support our view that we are building a strong customer focused culture in Dŵr Cymru.
An ambitious project – ‘Newid’ to replace our 25 year old billing system is underway and progressing well which will give Dŵr Cymru a proven and state-of-the-art platform upon which to develop further customer service improvements. So that we can control our operational systems more closely and react more quickly to incidents on our network, we have also invested in renewing and upgrading our telemetry and SCADA asset control systems, including improvements to security.

The Environment Agency in England recently reported that one in every five days saw flooding in 2012 but one in every four days were in drought. The record wet weather in particular had a significant impact on our operations. And while the total number of mains bursts and sewer collapses was lower last year, the very wet weather contributed to a higher number of major breakdowns on strategic assets, all of which were repaired successfully and without having any significant impact on our customers. We judge that nearly all of these breakdowns were a result of unstable ground conditions due to the wet weather.

Our newly strengthened in-house engineering team managed these repairs successfully, all of which involved 24 hour working and often in very difficult weather conditions. Despite this higher number of major asset breakdowns last year, we are able to report ‘stable’ asset serviceability for both our water and our sewerage activities.

We also continue to build our IT capability to support front line operations, capital delivery and customer service and our cost efficiency. Last year we implemented new systems to support our new laboratories at Bretton and Newport, a new automated on-line service for our developer customers, a planned maintenance scheduling system for below ground sewerage assets and we launched ‘revITalise’, a project to refresh our desktop IT environment.
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LOOKING AHEAD

This record level of investment has maintained and improved Dŵr Cymru’s £30 billion infrastructure of reservoirs, pipes, drinking water production facilities, sewers and wastewater treatment plants that we employ to provide our customers with what is an essential public service – high quality drinking water at the tap and the safe collection and cleansing of wastewater so that we protect our rivers and coastal waters from pollution.

The people who work for Dŵr Cymru are proud that we are owned on behalf of our customers and the communities we serve – and that all profits go back into the business to maintain and improve services and keep bills down. The enthusiasm and dedication that follows from this pride is the key to what we achieved for our customers last year – a best ever performance overall on the measures that matter for our customers and for the environment we look after and protect from pollution on their behalf.

Our aim is to demonstrate that not-for-profit ownership of the water industry can deliver both an affordable and a high quality service to the three million people who rely on us every day for what is the most essential of public services. Being trusted by our customers – because of our purpose and because of the quality of service we deliver – is at the heart of our ownership model.

It was encouraging to see from recent independent research that Dŵr Cymru enjoys a very high standing with stakeholders in Wales. Being ‘not-for-profit’ is key to this high standing, but is not enough by itself. What matters much more is the quality and reliability of service that customers get from Dŵr Cymru. Not-for-profit is no excuse for poor service. Indeed, we believe that our different business model must be a spur to excellent service and the best possible efficiency.

In the twelve years since Glas Cymru took over the ownership of Dŵr Cymru, ‘customer equity’ (that is, regulatory capital value less total net debt) has risen ten-fold to stand at £1.6 billion as at 31 March 2013. Prior to 2010 Dŵr Cymru paid ‘customer dividends’ totaling more than £150 million, and since 2010 the Company is returning value to customers totalling some £140 million in funding the additional costs that came with the transfer of private sewers and other accelerated investment as well as funding our unique range of assistance tariffs which give help to our less well off customers who are struggling to make ends meet.

We have launched our ‘Your Company, Your Say’ consultation with our customers to explain and test our future plans and priorities for 2015-21.

In the twelve years since Glas Cymru secured the ownership of Dŵr Cymru we have invested £4 billion – or around £2,500 per household – while striving to keep our customer bills affordable.
ON MOST OF THE MEASURES THAT MATTER FOR OUR CUSTOMERS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDERS OUR PERFORMANCE NOW RANKS US TOWARDS THE TOP OF THE INDUSTRY LEAGUE TABLES

The regulated water industry is particularly measurable, which allows the Board to set targets for the business that are based on sector benchmarks and to judge where we stand compared with the best performers in the sector. We use a number of key measures to make up our Performance Scorecard against which – alongside the achievement of cost efficiency targets – variable pay for every member of staff is calculated. Last year we achieved or beat the targets set by the Board for 12 of the 18 measures on our Performance Scorecard.

---

**Performance Review**

### Safe drinking water
- Bacti compliance at WTW
  - 2012: 99.96%
  - 2013: 99.95% ✔

### Reservoir Integrity Index
- 2012: 99.97%
- 2013: 99.98% ✔

### Compliance with standard for iron at tap
- 2012: 99.80%
- 2013: 99.83% ✔

### Mean Zonal Compliance
- 2012: 99.96%
- 2013: 99.96% ✔

### Process Control Index
- 2012: 99.96%
- 2013: 100% ✔

### Disinfection Index
- 2012: 99.97%
- 2013: 99.98% ✔

### Water Quality Events - Level 3 and above
- 2012/13: 6
- 2013/13: 11 ✘

At or better than target ✔ ✗

### Safe sanitation
- Sewer flooding – Other causes
  - 2011/12: 156
  - 2012/13: 151 ✗

### Sewer flooding – Hydraulic overload
- 2011/12: 30
- 2012/13: 60 ✗

### Protecting the environment
- Leakage (Ml/d)
  - 2012: 185
  - 2013: 185 ✔

- Number of serious pollution incidents
  - 2012: 4
  - 2013: 6 ✗

- Total number of pollution incidents
  - 2012: 246
  - 2013: 205 ✔

WwTWs compliant with ‘Look-up’ permit
- 2012: 99.72%
- 2013: 100% ✔

Percentage of WwTWs compliant with numeric consent
- 2012: 97.27%
- 2013: 98.64% ✔

### Customer service
- Number of customers – loss of supply > 6hrs
  - 2012: 116
  - 2013: 12,759 ✗

- Number of written complaints
  - 2012: 4,660
  - 2013: 3,652 ✔

- Number of unwanted telephone calls
  - 2012: 204,895
  - 2013: 142,059 ✔

Customer Satisfaction (SIM Qualitative)
- 2012: 4.5
- 2013: 4.62 ✔

* Currently under review.
WE TAKE DECISIONS IN THE LONG TERM INTERESTS OF THE CUSTOMERS OF DŵR CYMRU, AND THIS OBJECTIVE UNDERPINS OUR WHOLE APPROACH TO CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

We voluntarily comply with all of the provisions of The UK Governance Code 2010 (the ‘Code’) that apply to a company limited by guarantee. Where we cannot directly comply with the letter of the Code, principally as regards Section E which deals with the relationship with ‘major shareholders’, as we do not have shareholders, we aim to follow the spirit of the Code. The importance of complying with the spirit of the Code was recognised in the preamble to the 2012 version of the Code which will apply to our reporting for the financial year beginning 1 April 2013 and thereafter.

The following information, which is referred to in this report, is available on our website:

Schedule of matters reserved for decision by the Board
Terms of reference of the Nomination Committee
Terms of reference of the Audit Committee
Terms of reference of the Remuneration Committee
Terms of reference of the Quality and Environment Committee
OUR GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

Dŵr Cyf is different from other water companies. We don’t have shareholders and we are owned and managed on behalf of you and all the customers we serve.

Our Company Structure
Our accounts (page 59) provide details of the following companies in our group structure:
/ Glas Cyfrif Cyfyngedig was formed as a company limited by guarantee with the single purpose to acquire and manage Dŵr Cyfrif Cyfyngedig.
/ Glas Cyfrif (Securities) Cyfyngedig is the holding company for Dŵr Cyfrif (Holdings) Limited and its subsidiaries.
/ Dŵr Cyfrif (Holdings) Limited is the intermediate holding company of Dŵr Cyfrif Cyfyngedig and Dŵr Cyfrif (Financing) Limited.
/ Dŵr Cyfrif Cyfyngedig is a wholly owned subsidiary of Glas Cyfrif and is the group’s principal trading company. Its principal activity is the supply of water and treatment and disposal of wastewater under the Instrument of Appointment made by the Secretary of State for Wales under the Water Act 1989.
/ Dŵr Cyfrif (Financing) Limited was formed in 2001 and is the ‘issuer’ company for the group’s bonds, which are listed on the Luxembourg Bourse. Dŵr Cyfrif (Financing) Limited, is incorporated in the Cayman Islands, but is managed, controlled and resident in the UK for tax purposes. The company on lends the proceeds of any bond issues to Dŵr Cyfrif Cyfyngedig.
/ Dŵr Cyfrif Customer Services Limited provides income collection and billing services to Dŵr Cyfrif Cyfyngedig. It commenced trading on 1 August 2012.

Our Business Model is designed to deliver high quality drinking water and sewerage services at the lowest sustainable cost.

As a company limited by guarantee all financial surpluses are reinvested for the benefit of our customers. We have retained profits to build up reserves to insulate Dŵr Cyfrif and its customers from any unexpected costs and also to improve credit quality to keep our cost of finance as low as possible. We have the best credit rating of all UK utilities.

Our assets and capital investment programme are wholly financed by bonds, loans and retained profits.

Our Role is to fulfil a vital function in protecting public health and the natural environment by providing a safe and reliable supply of drinking water and to deal safely with the wastewater generated by over three million people and businesses within our supply area to minimise impact on the environment.

Our Industry and Regulators
Dŵr Cyfrif is one of ten water and sewerage companies and there are also ten regional companies which supply water in certain areas.

Ofwat (our economic regulator) exists to ensure that water companies provide a good quality service at a fair price. It sets price limits for customer bills to ensure that they are no higher than they need to be. It assesses the operating costs and investment we need to maintain our network of assets to meet required standards and deliver high quality services to customers. Ofwat promotes the interests of customers by incentivising efficiency and good service and penalising inefficiency and poor service.

The Consumer Council for Water (an independent body established to represent the interests of customers) investigates complaints, champions customer concerns, and audits our more general performance on customer service, as well as influencing policy.

The Welsh Government sets the framework for public policy matters for Wales, including policy and legislation on water and environmental matters.

Drinking water quality is regulated and monitored by the Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI).

Our environmental performance, especially the way we abstract water from rivers and reservoirs and then discharge wastewater after it has been cleaned, is regulated by Natural Resources Wales (NRW – which as from 1 April 2013 replaced the Environment Agency Wales, Countryside Council for Wales and the Forestry Commission Wales). NRW oversees our management of designated sites for nature conservation and how we meet our obligations to conserve and improve biodiversity.
BOARD AND MEMBERS

GOOD GOVERNANCE IS FUNDAMENTAL TO ALL OF OUR ACTIVITIES. IT HELPS THE BUSINESS RUN SMOOTHLY AND AIDS EFFECTIVE DECISION MAKING. WE FOCUS ON COMPREHENSIVE RISK ANALYSIS, EFFECTIVE INTERNAL CONTROLS, GOOD COMMUNICATION AND A CULTURE OF HONESTY AND TRANSPARENCY ABOUT OUR PERFORMANCE.

Our Board has a majority of independent Non-Executive Directors who constructively challenge management. Our Membership fulfils the role of holding our Board to account.

Role of the Board
The Board is responsible for promoting the long term success of the Company.

The Board sets the Group’s strategic aims, monitors the performance of management against the strategic aims, advocates good governance, sets the risk appetite and ensures that effective controls are in place in the business.

Role of the Chairman
The role of the Chairman is to lead a unified board, facilitating meetings, and to be responsible for good governance.

Meeting Agendas are agreed in consultation with the Managing Director and Company Secretary, although any director may request that an item be added to the agenda. At least once a year, the Chairman meets with the non-executive directors without the executive directors present, to consider the performance of the executive directors and to provide feedback.

Non-Executive Directors
Non-executive directors are appointed to the board to contribute their external expertise and provide independent challenge and rigour in the board’s deliberations. The non-executive directors meet at least once per year without the Chairman present, to discuss his performance.

Managing Director
The board has delegated responsibility beyond matters reserved for the Board to the Managing Director in order to achieve the company’s strategy. The Managing Director is supported by the Executive Directors of Dwr Cymru in implementing strategy.

Role of the Company Secretary
Richard Curtis was succeeded as Company Secretary in October 2012 by Nicola Williams, who joined Dwr Cymru as General Counsel and Company Secretary. In addition to her General Counsel role, Nicola is responsible for supporting the Chairman in ensuring that the company demonstrates good governance. Nicola is available to the non-executive directors and ensures that there are good information flows to the board and its committees and between senior management and the non-executive directors. The resignation of Richard Curtis and the appointment of Nicola Williams were approved by the Board as a whole.

Role of Members
Members hold the Board to account for the stewardship of our assets, and our goal of providing a very important and essential public service to more than 3 million people in a manner which will be sustainable for future generations.

The key role of our Members is to ensure that we remain focused on our primary purpose, which is to provide efficient high quality and affordable water and sewerage services to Dwr Cymru’s customers.

Our Members are individuals, appointed by the Board but selected by an independent Member Selection Panel, which is required to maintain a balanced and diverse membership, as far as possible broadly reflective of the range of our customer and other stakeholder’s interests.

Membership is personal, and therefore Members do not represent any particular group or stakeholder interest. They do not receive fees, nor do they have a financial stake in the business. We believe this independence facilitates robust governance.

There are currently 59 independent Members of Glas Cymru (under the Company’s Articles of Association each Director is also a Member while they hold office as a Director).

A list of the independent Members of Glas Cymru and our Membership Policy are published on our website www.dwrcymru.com or can be obtained by writing to the Company Secretary.
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

1 / John Bryant (69)*
Non-Executive Director and Chairman (Q) (R) (N - Chairman)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in March 2001, John is a former Chief Executive of British Steel and, until December 2000, was Joint Chief Executive of Corus plc. He was a Non-Executive Director of Costain Group plc until January 2013, and was a Non-Executive Director of Bank of Wales plc between 1996 and 2001. He became Chairman on 1 May 2013.

2 / Nigel Annett (54)*
Managing Director (N) (Q)
Appointed Managing Director in January 2005, Nigel has been an Executive Director of Glas Cymru since April 2000 and of Dŵr Cymru Cfyfngedig in May 2001. Chris was previously Director of Regulation of Welsh Water and South Wales Electricity plc. Before joining Welsh Water in 1995, he was a Director at National Economic Research Associates and, prior to that, worked for HM Treasury. He is a Deputy Chairman of the Council of The Prince's Trust Cymru and until April 2013 was a Non-Executive Director of the Principality Building Society. On 13 June, we announced that Chris will become CEO with effect from 1 September 2013.

3 / Chris Jones (49)*
Finance Director
Appointed Finance Director of Glas Cymru in April 2000 and of Dŵr Cymru Cfyfngedig in May 2001, Chris was previously Director of Regulation of Welsh Water and South Wales Electricity Plc. Before joining Welsh Water in 1995, he was a Director at National Economic Research Associates and, prior to that, worked for HM Treasury. He is a Deputy Chairman of the Council of The Prince's Trust Cymru and until April 2013 was a Non-Executive Director of the Principality Building Society. On 13 June, we announced that Chris will become CEO with effect from 1 September 2013.

4 / Peter Perry (50)*
Operations Director (Q)
Appointed Operations Director in July 2006, Peter has a civil engineering background and was formerly the Chief Operating Officer for United Utilities Operational Services (UUOS), having previously been the Operations Director for UUOS with responsibility for the contractual contract with Welsh Water and UUOS’s water interests in Scotland and Ireland. Prior to joining UUOS he worked for Dŵr Cymru (Welsh Water) for over 17 years. He is also a Director (representing Wales) at The Water Regulations Advisory Service, the national body specifying standards for materials and workmanship used in potable water supply.

5 / Prof. Stephen Palmer (61)*
Non-Executive Director (N) (Q - Chairman)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in October 2009, Stephen is Professor of Epidemiology and Public Health at Cardiff University and chairs the Wales Chief Medical Officer’s Health Protection Committee. From 2003-2010 he was Director of the Health Protection Agency’s chemical hazards division, local and regional services division, and Head of Epidemiology. A fellow of the Faculty of Public Health and the Royal College of Physicians, he has been an influential figure in public health for over 25 years. He has a long CV of previous public health professional functions and has written many books and peer reviewed papers on infectious diseases, environment and health.

6 / Menna Richards (60)*
Senior Independent Director (N) (A)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in November 2010, Menna is also a Non-Executive Director of the Principality Building Society, of Welsh National Opera and a Trustee of the ALOUD charity. She is a Vice-President of the Royal Welsh College of Music and Drama and Pro-Chancellor of Cardiff University. Her executive career has been in broadcasting, both public and commercial sectors. Menna was Director, BBC Cymru Wales from 2000 to 2011 and Managing Director of HTV Wales from 1997-2000.
Menna is a member of the Audit Committee and takes a special interest in communications. She represents the Board on the Membership Selection Panel and also sits on the board of Dŵr Cymru Customer Services. From May 2013 Menna has become Senior Independent Director.

7 / James Strachan (59)*
Non-Executive Director (R - Chairman) (N)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in June 2007, James is a Non-Executive Director of Towergate Insurance Limited, JP Morgan Asian Investment Trust plc and Sarasin and Partners LLP, and he is Senior Visiting Fellow in risk and regulation at the London School of Economics. Former roles include: Chairman of the Audit Commission, a Non-Executive Director of the Bank of England, the Financial Services Authority, Legal and General Group plc, Care UK plc and Social Finance Limited, a Board member of Ofgem (the energy regulator), Chairman of the charity RNID and Managing Director of Merrill Lynch.

8 / Anna Walker (61)*
Non-Executive Director (N) (R)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in March 2011, Anna has a wealth of experience in customer service, policy making and regulation. She is Chair of the Office of Rail Regulation and she completed the independent report commissioned by government in 2008 into household water charging. Her former roles include Chief Executive of the Healthcare Commission (2004-2009), Director General, Land Use and Rural Affairs at DEFRA, Director General – Energy Group at DTI, and Deputy Director General at Ofcom, the telecoms sector regulator. Anna, who was given a CB in 2003 for public service, is Chairman of the charity Young Epilepsy, and a former Non-Executive Director of Consumer Focus, the statutory consumer champion body.

9 / John Warren (59)*
Non-Executive Director (A - Chairman) (N) (R)
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in May 2012, John is a qualified accountant with more than 25 years’ experience in finance roles and has extensive experience in chairing Audit Committees of major UK listed companies. Until his retirement in 2005, he was Group Finance Director for WH Smith plc and, before that, United Biscuits plc. He is currently the Senior Independent Director and Chairman of the Audit and Risk Committee at Spectris plc, and a Non-Executive Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee for Rank Group plc, Bovis Homes plc, Greencore plc and 4imprint Group plc, where he is Senior Independent Director.

10 / Robert Ayling (66)*
Chairman**
Non-Executive Director (N) (A) (Q) (R)
Previously Chairman**
Appointed a Non-Executive Director in April 2008, Robert became Chairman of Glas Cymru in July 2010. A solicitor by profession and with 20 years in the City of London and as a senior government legal adviser at the Department of Trade and Industry, he held a number of senior management positions at British Airways plc of which he was Group Managing Director and Chief Executive from 1993 to 2000. He is also a former Chairman of Dyson Limited and of The International Dispute Resolution Centre Limited, Sanctuary Group plc and Holidaybreak plc, a Non-Executive Director of Royal & Sun Alliance Insurance Group plc, and until April 2013 he was the Independent Chairman of HM Courts & Tribunals Service.

* Director’s ages as at 31 March 2013.
** Robert Ayling was Chairman until 1 May 2013. The Board agreed that Robert Ayling will take a six month leave of absence from the Board for personal reasons until 1 November 2013. Robert Ayling will remain a director of the company during the period. Our Senior Independent Director John Bryant has replaced Robert Ayling as Chairman. Menna Richards has taken on the role of Senior Independent Director until 1 November 2013.
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

In 2012-13, the Board had ten scheduled meetings and two meetings for ad hoc reasons. Our scheduled meetings are held over two days to give the Board the time it needs to review progress against strategic objectives and to meet key business managers. The Board visits operational assets and meets with regulators and advisors to continually update our knowledge of the business. In the past year, we have focused on the 2014 price review, innovation, LEAN, risk and Ofwat licence modifications.

Length of service
The respective periods of service of our Non-Executive Directors are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length of Service</th>
<th>Number of Directors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 3 years</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 6 years</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - 9 years</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9+ years</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the exception of Robert Ayling and John Bryant, all of our Non-Executive Directors are determined to be independent in character and judgement in accordance with the Code, and each is free from any business or other relationship which could compromise their independent judgement.

Robert Ayling was an independent Non-Executive Director until his appointment as Chairman in 2010. By the date of the 2013 Annual General Meeting, John Bryant, our new Chairman, will have served on the Board for 12 years and, as a result, his continued independence has been subject to particular scrutiny.

John Bryant has an in-depth knowledge of the company due to his length of service and commitment. In the opinion of the Board, John has retained independence of character and judgement and he was independent up to the point when he became Chairman in May 2013.

Chris Jones and Menna Richards served together on the Board of the Principality Building Society for a short period, until Chris stepped down as a Non-Executive Director in April 2013. Other than this, there are no cross-directorships or significant business interests in common between members of the Board.

Diversity
We are committed to promoting diversity, non-discrimination, and equality of opportunity in the way we treat employees, prospective employees, visitors, clients, customers and suppliers.

With regard to having an appropriate level of representation from women, we are making good progress toward meeting the objectives of the Davies Report. Currently, 25% of our Non-Executive Directors are women, 20% of the Executive team are women and 27% of Dŵr Cymru’s wider Leadership Team are women.

The search for Board candidates is conducted by the Nomination Committee on the basis of merit, against objective criteria set for the role, and with due regard to maintaining an appropriate balance of skills and experience and to the importance of ensuring diversity of representation on the Board.

Re-election of Directors
In accordance with the code, all Directors seek re-election every year and any Director appointed during the year seeks election at the next AGM.

Conflicts of Interest
Under UK company law, conflict situations must be authorised in advance to avoid a director being in breach of the statutory duty.

All directors have been advised that they must immediately disclose any conflicts, or potential conflicts, of interest. In addition, all directors sign an annual declaration and disclose their external appointments.

Induction and Development
A personalised induction process is provided to Directors; including an information pack, site visits and one to one meetings with senior managers. The Board and committees undertake training and briefing sessions as appropriate.

Effectiveness
We carried out a Board and committee effectiveness review in March 2013. In 2012-2013, the review took the form of a questionnaire followed by a detailed discussion at a Board meeting, following on from the report of an external board reviewer (Dr Tracy Long) in 2011. Our review has identified that the areas of focus for the Board remain strategy and risk. A list of the key points raised by members of the Board is being reviewed and will be brought back to the Board for consideration of progress against the issues raised, however the overall strong conclusion of the Board was that the Board itself and its committees were acting effectively.

The Non-Executive Directors met without the Chairman (Robert Ayling) present to review his effectiveness and agreed that he was effective in his role. The Chairman also met with each Non-Executive Director individually during 2012-13 to provide feedback on performance and to address any knowledge development needs.

Attendance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Board (12)</th>
<th>Remuneration (4)</th>
<th>Audit (4)</th>
<th>QEC (12)</th>
<th>Nomination (4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Ayling</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigel Annett</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christopher Jones</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Perry</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Bryant</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Palmer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Menna Richards</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Strachan</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Walker</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Warren</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Dr Long has no other connection with the company.
REPORTS FROM THE COMMITTEES

Committees
Certain responsibilities of the Board are delegated to the Board Committees which play an important role in working with management to ensure our business is financially strong and well governed, and that risks are identified and mitigated. Our principal committees are the Nomination Committee, the Audit Committee, the Quality and Environment Committee ("QEC"), the Remuneration Committee and the Finance Committee.

After each meeting, a summary of matters discussed is reported to the Board, and committee minutes are circulated to all Directors. The Company Secretary is the secretary to each committee, which can engage the services of such advisors as it needs to fulfil its responsibilities.

In addition to chairing or being a member of a committee, certain Directors commit additional time and challenge on matters of public health (Stephen Palmer), operations, engineering and procurement (John Bryant), customer service and regulation (Anna Walker), finance and audit (John Warren), finance, regulation and remuneration (James Strachan), communications and Member relations (Menna Richards) and government and regulation (Robert Ayling).

Report from the Chairman of the Nomination Committee
/ Chairman: John Bryant
(Robert Ayling chaired this until 1 May 2013)
/ Members: All of the Non-Executive Directors and the Managing Director

The role of this Committee is to ensure that plans are in place for orderly succession for appointment to the Board. We therefore look at the size, structure and composition of the Board (and the skills, knowledge and experience around the Board table) and, as appropriate, recommend candidates for Board appointment.

The priority this year was to find a successor for Nigel Annett as Managing Director and we engaged the services of Spencer Stuart to help us.

Last year we reported that John Bryant, the last of our original Non-Executive Directors, would stand down in 2013. In light of Robert Ayling needing to take a six month leave of absence, John will stand for reappointment for a final year and if re-elected will serve as Chairman until 1 November 2013. The search for John Bryant’s successor, however, is already underway and is being led by Menna Richards with support from Spencer Stuart.¹

Report from the Chairman of the Audit Committee
/ Chairman: John Warren
/ Members: Robert Ayling, Menna Richards and John Bryant

The Audit Committee meets four times a year, including two meetings where we focus primarily on a review of the group’s preliminary and interim financial results and related areas of judgement and accounting policy.

At each meeting, the Committee reviews the progress and findings of the external and internal audit programmes. The Audit Committee uses these progress updates to challenge management’s assessment of key financial and business risks and the mitigation strategies put in place to manage them. The Finance Director, the Head of Internal Audit and the external auditors are all regular attendees at Audit Committee meetings, and the Managing Director and Operations Director attend at least one meeting each year.

A Regulatory and Compliance Report is a standing item on the agenda for each meeting of the Committee. This provides an update on substantive issues relating to water industry regulation and compliance, prosecutions and legal issues. Coverage is also given to significant insurance claims, tax compliance, fraud prevention and health and safety, as well as aspects of information management.

The Committee also receives at each meeting a separate report on any disclosures under the Board’s whistleblowing policy, and monitors investigations and follow-up undertaken as a result of any whistleblowing disclosure.

Other topics routinely reviewed and subject to follow-up by the committee include business continuity, the quarterly report to Glas Cymru’s investors, treasury policy and controls, and arrangements to ensure the integrity of regulatory reporting.

External audit services are provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Our policy is that the external auditors will not be used for internal audit services, and that all non-audit work above a threshold of £25,000 will generally be subject to prior competitive tendering and approval by the chairman of the Audit Committee. As a result, I am satisfied that audit independence has not been impaired by the award of non-audit work. The total remuneration paid to the external auditors is shown allocated between Audit-related and other services audit and non-audit work on page 53 of the financial statements.

The role of independent audit is to provide assurance and to advise management and the Board on the extent to which systems of internal control and arrangements to manage risk are appropriate and operating effectively. Audit plans are focused on areas of greatest risk to the business.

The Audit Committee has approved the external and internal audit plans for 2013-14 and has met privately with the auditors (without executives present) as part of an annual review of audit independence and on the basis of this work, and regular meetings with management, we have concluded that external and internal audit services continue to be effective.

The Audit Committee also receives advice and assurance from Dwr Cymru’s Reporter (Black & Veatch), in relation to regulatory reporting issues.

¹ Spencer Stuart were appointed to assist both with the selection of the successor to the Managing Director and with the search for a further Non-Executive Director. Spencer Stuart has no other connection with the company.

² Robert Ayling was a member until 1 May 2013, and John Bryant has temporarily replaced him as a member of this committee until 1 November 2013.
Report from the Chairman of the Quality and Environment Committee – 2013

The role of the QEC is to advise the Board on any issue relating to operational policy and practice in relation to public health and compliance with drinking water and environmental regulations and standards, together with occupational health and safety issues.

The Committee comprises three Non-Executive Directors and two Executive Directors. For 2012/13, this Committee was chaired by John Bryant. From 1 May 2013, Stephen Palmer has chaired the Committee and as mentioned earlier in this report, John Bryant has become Chairman.

At each meeting of the Committee there are also regular attendees from the wider business with a range of operational responsibilities. In addition, the Board benefits from two independent scientific advisors. In 2012/13, these were Andrew Davies, who advises on water quality matters, and Professor Lynda Warren of Aberystwyth University, who advised on environmental matters. From 1 April 2013, Professor Warren stepped down from her role as scientific adviser to become a Non-Executive Director of Natural Resources Wales (which from that date combined the organisations of the Environment Agency Wales, Countryside Council for Wales and the Forestry Commission Wales). We wish Professor Warren every success in her new role. From 1 May 2013, she has been succeeded by Steve Brown, former Area Manager for South Wales at the Environment Agency Wales.

The Committee meets at various operational sites across the company’s supply area. In 2012/13, these sites included Baglan, Anglesey, Caernarfon, Nelson and the company’s new laboratory in Glaslyn, Newport.

Each month, the Committee reviews the company’s performance in detail against key water quality, environmental performance and health and safety indicators, and monitors progress against key strategies aimed at protecting public health, safeguarding the environment, ensuring the safety of our employees and contractors and delivering efficient service to our customers.

The Committee also reviews the findings of investigations into any water quality, environmental or customer service failure (and requires a specific report from the Managing Director for those deemed serious by the Committee), and maintains oversight of the programmes of risk management and of internal and external audits. The focus of the Committee is on:

- continuous improvement of systems and processes and ensuring staff receive appropriate training;
- monitoring key systems and processes and ensuring appropriate management oversight;
- ensuring that appropriate lessons are learned from performance failures;
- ensuring that arrangements for risk management are fit for purpose to identify key risks promptly and manage them appropriately.

A marked improvement in performance over the 2012/13 period is commented upon in detail elsewhere in this Annual Report, however the topics covered by the Committee in 2012/13 included:

- customer service
- leakage
- flooding
- health & safety issues
- pollution
- progress on environmental issues
- innovation

The continuing themes pursued by the Committee in reviewing these areas of the business during the year have included:

- emphasising the benefits of adopting a systematic approach to identifying root causes of incidents and compliance failures;
- the importance of science and innovation to improving our processes and systems and the benefits of benchmarking initiatives;
- the importance of building relationships of trust with the company’s regulators;
- the importance of communicating the company’s role and of the communications strategy as a whole;
- the value of working on predicting and identifying emerging areas of risk. Identifying where particular areas of risk are across the business will enable forward planning to deal with these areas.

Remuneration Committee

The membership and the role and responsibilities of the Remuneration Committee are described in the 2013 Remuneration Report which follows later in this report on page 33. This includes a summary of key issues discussed by the Committee in 2012-13 and of the remuneration policy and arrangements approved for 2013-14.

A report from the Chairman of the Finance Committee

Members: John Bryant (Robert Ayling was a member until May 2013), James Strachan, Chris Jones, John Warren

The Finance Committee is authorised to approve financing and treasury related transactions where a decision is required between meetings of the Board. In 2012-13, the Finance Committee was required to approve decisions on three occasions.
INTERNAL CONTROL

The Board has overall responsibility for the operation and effectiveness of the group’s system of internal controls and risk management. The system of controls is designed to manage the risk of failure to achieve business objectives, and comprises audited policies and procedures aimed at identifying and managing the most significant risks faced by the business.

In considering the development of the system of controls, the management team reviews the materiality and the relative cost benefit associated with each identified significant risk. The internal control systems are designed to provide reasonable assurance against misstatements or loss.

The key features of our system are typical for a business of our scale and complexity.

They include:
/ consideration of acceptable risk parameters set by the Board;
/ identification of key risks and hazards which are measured and managed to an acceptable level;
/ clear management accountability for risk management, supported by regular risk reporting to the Board and to the Quality and Environment Committee;
/ controls that recognise that the nature and balance of risk changes and evolves;
/ procedures that govern the approval and control of major items of capital expenditure, the acquisition and disposal of material assets, and commitment to any arrangement that gives rise to, or could give rise to, a material liability;
/ financial systems and procedures for preparing consolidated accounts.

The Board receives assurance from independent work by Dŵr Cymru’s Reporter (Black and Veatch) and from the programme of internal and quality audit, the major findings from which are reviewed at the Audit Committee and/or the Quality and Environment Committee. Risk is reassessed under a process chaired by the Managing Director, who submits an update to the Audit Committee and the Quality and Environment Committee every six months, and annually to the Board.

The process to review the effectiveness of internal control includes discussion with management on significant risk issues and a review of plans for, and results from, internal and external audit. The Audit Committee reports the results of its review to the Board which then draws its collective conclusion on the effectiveness of the system of internal controls. In fulfilling this responsibility, the Board considers periodic reports from the Audit Committee, Quality and Environment Committee and from management, and relies on its routine monitoring of key performance indicators and monthly reports of financial and operational performance. This enables the Board to review the effectiveness of the internal control system during the course of the year.

As part of the work undertaken to review its internal controls on an annual basis, the Board also considers the effectiveness of its system of internal controls with regard to how these have operated in respect of the specific challenges faced by the company over the course of the previous reporting period. For 2012/13, the Board found that the internal control processes accurately identified and enabled the management of the principal risks facing the business.
PRINCIPAL RISKS AND UNCERTAINITIES

The Board considers that the principal risks and uncertainties affecting the business activities of the company include the following:

Service Delivery and Asset Maintenance
/ Maintaining and upgrading our service to customers as necessary, and investing to maintain our assets for future customers and to meet challenges such as population growth, climate change and ageing asset infrastructure;
/ Protecting against asset failure and the risks that this poses both to service delivery and to third party assets and people;
/ Ensuring continued service delivery despite apparent greater variability in weather conditions. This past year saw the third wettest year on record and this impacted our services. In particular, heavy rainfall contributed to an unprecedented number of major bursts to trunk mains and sewers.

Legal and Regulatory Environment
/ Influencing and responding to regulatory and legislative change in the sector, in particular around the recently published Water Bill, in order to ensure we can deliver our future plans for the business and maintain service delivery for our customers;
/ Achieving the targets set by our regulators for the period 2010-2015 and securing the support of Ofwat for our business plan for the next investment period, 2015-2021;
/ Ensuring ongoing compliance with regulatory reporting requirements and that our processes for ensuring compliance continue to be fit for purpose, particularly in the light of some specific malpractices uncovered during the year in one part of our supply area;
/ Uncertainty around the outcome of several awaited regulatory determinations. The hearing of Albion Water’s damages claim under the Competition Act 1998 in respect of its request for common carriage terms in 2000 came before the Competition Appeal Tribunal in October 2012. Judgment was handed down in March 2013. Albion was awarded £1.89 million plus interest in damages but the Court found that its claim for exemplary damages should not succeed. Costs issues in relation to the dispute are still outstanding.

In separate proceedings, a further determination from Ofwat is currently awaited as to the correct price to be paid by Albion Water for the current supply of water by Dŵr Cymru. A determination is also awaited on the pricing of Dŵr Cymru’s supply of water to Tata Steel at Llanwern.

People
/ Maintaining and improving our high levels of employee engagement (as measured in 2012-2013 employee engagement survey);
/ Continuing to support our employees to develop their skills and knowledge to be able to help us to address the future challenges facing the sector and to enable us to fulfil our service delivery obligations to customers and to meet our regulatory requirements;
/ Dealing with the challenges raised by the age profile of our employees, which means that a significant proportion will be retiring from the business over the next ten year period.

Financial Risks
/ Ensuring cash collection despite the continued impact of the recent recession on our customers, which has made cash collection increasingly more difficult and has meant an increase in the support we provide to customers – over 52,000 household customers now receive assistance from one of Dŵr Cymru’s social tariffs or its Customer Assistance Fund;
/ Maintaining access to capital markets during a period of regulatory uncertainty, as rating agencies have reported that structural changes could affect the sector’s ratings and therefore its cost of finance.

Communication with Stakeholders
Maintaining good and open relationships with Members and investors is very important. We continue to enjoy a very high attendance by Members at our six monthly Members’ meetings, which gives them regular opportunity to meet the Board; at these meetings we provide presentations on company performance, developments and prospects. All Directors, including the Chairmen of the key Board committees, are available to answer questions. After each meeting, all Members receive a written brief of issues discussed and the replies to questions asked. Between meetings, we keep Members up to date on key issues and developments through a combination of written bulletins from the Managing Director and updates delivered by email or via a confidential Members’ website. This year we had an additional Members’ meeting focused on the Price Review and Investment Plan for the period 2015-2021 prior to our stakeholder engagement.

Investors receive a quarterly report and each summer we host an annual investor meeting in London. Following the announcement of interim and preliminary results for any period there are informal meetings with major bondholders and other investors, who may also request a meeting with a Board member at any time. The Board receives a report following meetings with investors and, where appropriate, takes into account the views expressed by investors on issues affecting the company.

Regular communication is also maintained with the Welsh Government and with each of the economic, water quality and environmental, and customer service regulators of Dŵr Cymru.
DIRECTORS’ REPORT

Our registered office is Pentwyn Road, Nelson, CF46 6LY.

The Companies Act 2006 requires that the Directors’ Report should include a Business Review which gives a fair review of the development and performance of the business (which is provided in the Chairman’s Report and Performance Review sections of this annual report) and a description of the principal risks and uncertainties that it faces (page 30). Information regarding the operational performance of the business and our approach to sustainability and corporate responsibility provided elsewhere in this annual report is incorporated into this Directors’ Report by reference to the extent necessary to fulfil the requirements of a Business Review.

Directors: The names and brief biographical details of the Directors are given on page 24 of this document.

All Directors served throughout the year ended 31 March 2013. At the 2013 AGM, all of the Directors will stand for re-election. This will meet the requirement under our Articles of Association for one third of Directors to retire by rotation. It will also meet the good governance requirement that John Bryant, who have served on our Board for more than 9 years, should stand for annual re-election by Members. The Board has endorsed the effectiveness and commitment (and, in respect of the Non-Executive Directors, the independence) of the Directors and recommends each for re-election. Further details are set out in the Notice of the 2013 AGM.

No Director has, or has had, a material financial interest, directly or indirectly, in any contract significant to the Company’s business and the Board has not been requested to use, and has not used, its discretion under Article 57 of Glas Cymru’s Articles of Association which allows the approval of a potential conflict of interest. Details of the remuneration of individual Directors and of the remuneration strategy approved by the Board are included in the Remuneration Report for the year ended 31 March 2013 contained in this Annual Report. A resolution will be proposed at the 2013 AGM to approve the 2013 Remuneration Report.

The Company has in place Directors’ and Officers’ insurance giving cover against legal action brought against the Directors and an indemnity in circumstances where a director has not acted fraudulently or dishonestly. The indemnity is a qualifying indemnity for the purpose of the Companies Act and is for the benefit of all Directors. No claims have been made against this policy since the date of the last report.

Employees: At 31 March 2013, Dŵr Cymru had 2,340 employees. Our success is dependent upon our having a highly committed and motivated workforce and in other sections of this annual report we describe how we are engaging with employees and developing the talent and core competence of the business. During the period 2012-13 we were awarded the Essential Skills Employer Pledge award by the Welsh Government.

Occupational Health and Safety: We are committed to high standards of occupational health and safety and over the period 2012/13 our like for like performance improved with fewer RIDDOR accidents. More information on our health and safety strategy and performance is provided in our 2013 Occupational Health and Safety Report which is available on our website.

Research and Development: In 2012 we set up a new Independent Environmental Advisory Panel made up of regulators, academics and environmental stakeholders to advise the business on research and environmental matters. The panel has been instrumental in assisting us with the design of our research proposals for the next investment period. The panel has also assisted us both to tap into the expertise available in such bodies and build our relationships with them, so as to leverage funding and expertise into our research. More generally, we keep abreast of the national research and development agenda by participation in water industry research initiatives, most notably through membership of UK Water Industry Research Limited which manages and coordinates the research interests of UK water companies.

Payment Policy: Payment terms are agreed at the start of a relationship with a supplier, and are only changed by agreement, and payment will be made in accordance with those terms, save where an invoice is contested. We will seek to remedy disputes as promptly as possible. Standard payment terms will be 30 days from date of receipt of a correct invoice for satisfactory goods or services which have been ordered or received, unless other terms are agreed in a contract. In 2012-13, the average payment period for the Glas Cymru group was 60 days (2012: 62 days). The level of capital retentions varies from year to year and affects the average payment period for the year.

Corporate Social Responsibility: We have a firm commitment to environmental responsibility and to being a good neighbour and a trusted partner in the communities we serve. To earn this trust we have to show that we behave fairly and responsibly in the interests of customers, that we use resources wisely, and that we make an appropriate contribution to community.

Political Donations: It is Board policy not to make donations to political parties or to incur political expenditure and during 2012-13 no donations or payments were made which are required to be disclosed under section 366 of the Companies Act 2006.

Welsh Language Scheme: We welcome dealing with customers and other stakeholders in Welsh or English and aim to provide an effective standard of service in both languages. Our Welsh Language Scheme is an approved scheme under the provisions of the Welsh Language Act 1993 and we are working closely with the Welsh Language Commissioner’s office to prepare for the implementation of the provisions of the Welsh Language Measure 2011.

Regulatory Accounts (Dŵr Cymru): Condition F of the Instrument of Appointment under which Dŵr Cymru operates requires that we publish additional financial information as an ‘appointed business’. A copy of this information is published on our website or is available on request.
Events after the Financial Year-End: There have been no post balance sheet events. Annual General Meeting: The 2013 AGM will be held on Friday 5 July 2013. The business for the AGM includes the approval of the Directors’ Report and Financial Statements and the Remuneration Report for the year ended 31 March 2013, the re-election of all Directors, a resolution to authorise the Company to make donations to EU political organisations and/or incur EU political expenditure up to defined limits and resolutions to reappoint the auditors and to authorise the Audit Committee to fix their fees. These resolutions are all matters of ordinary business – there is no special business. Further information in respect of all resolutions is provided in the Notice of 2013 AGM sent to the Members of Glas Cymru with this report.

Going Concern: The Directors are satisfied that the business has adequate resources to continue in business for the foreseeable future. Accordingly, the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013 have been prepared on a going concern basis.

Auditors: PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP acted as the auditors to Glas Cymru for the accounts for the year ended 31 March 2013. As part of the audit process we have confirmed that as far as each Director is aware there is no relevant audit information of which the auditors are unaware and that they have taken steps to be made aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the Company’s auditors are aware of that information. A resolution to reappoint PricewaterhouseCoopers will be put to Members at the 2013 AGM.

By order of the Board

Nicola Williams
General Counsel and Company Secretary
13 June 2013

All documents stated as available on the Company’s website can be obtained from: www.dwrcymru.com
2013 REMUNERATION REPORT

I am pleased to present the 2013 report from the Remuneration Committee of the Board (‘the Committee’) which provides details of the remuneration paid to Directors in the financial year 2012-13 and our Remuneration Policy for 2013-14.

Our Remuneration Policy aims to align executive remuneration with the implementation of the Company’s strategy to deliver the best possible outcomes for our customers and to protect the environment. It shows clearly how remuneration is linked to performance both annually and over the five year regulatory period that will end in March 2015 (‘the AMP5 period’). AMP5 is the most challenging since privatisation and the average combined bill for Dŵr Cymru’s water and sewerage customers at the end of the AMP5 period will be some £20 per household lower (before inflation) than in March 2010. To deliver this, Dŵr Cymru must reduce controllable operating costs by around 20% and at the same time continue to improve customer service performance, meet challenging serviceability targets and deliver a £1.5 billion capital investment programme. The Committee believes that the performance measures for variable pay – comprising the Company’s Performance Scorecard (which includes a range of key measures covering drinking water quality, sanitation, protecting the environment from pollution and customer service), operating cost reduction, Ofwat’s Service Incentive Mechanism (‘SIM’) measure (an industry wide measure for overall customer service and satisfaction) and growth in customer equity (i.e. financial reserves being regulatory capital value less net debt) - all support the delivery of these strategic targets.

The Committee undertook a review of remuneration arrangements in 2010-11 and following the Annual General Meeting in 2011 adopted a Policy that was designed to be appropriate for the AMP5 period. This Policy was applied throughout last year and it will be applied for 2013-14 in a way that is materially unchanged from the Policy we described in the 2012 Remuneration Report (‘the AMP5 remuneration arrangements’).

Key points in relation to remuneration for the financial year ending 31 March 2014

/ Executive Directors’ salaries were increased by 2% in April 2013. This is less than the 3% general pay award received by staff.
/ Under the Annual Variable Pay Scheme (‘AVPS’) the quantum (as a percentage of salary) that can be earned in 2013-14 remains at 100% of salary. We have made some minor changes to the way that the personal/strategic element will be assessed and tightened the basis for assessing performance by reference to the AVPS Performance Scorecard and the operating cost target.
/ Under the Long Term Variable Pay Scheme (‘LTVPS’) the quantum that can be earned in 2013-14 for the customer service element is unchanged at 30% of salary. The potential staged payment for the customer equity element for 2013-14 is 40% of salary. No changes to the scheme are proposed this year and, in the absence of a material change in the regulatory environment or any other extraordinary external factors, the Committee would not expect any significant change to be recommended during the AMP5 period.

The Committee remains satisfied that whilst the remuneration arrangements include variable elements linked to performance, the Policy does not encourage risk taking which is inappropriate in light of the risk profile of the Company, and ensures that remuneration levels rise and fall depending on performance. The inclusion of variable elements of pay also ensures that the Policy is sufficiently competitive to attract and retain high quality staff.

Remuneration paid in respect of the financial year ended 31 March 2013

/ A base salary (which had been increased by 2% in April 2012).
/ Under the AVPS 2012/13 awards have been made equivalent to 22.3 percentage points (‘%’) of base salary for performance against the Performance Scorecard, 28.3% for operating cost reduction and 10%-13% against Strategic and Personal objectives, making a total award of 60.6%-63.6% of base salary. This compares with a maximum of 100% of base salary and awards of 77.6% of salary for performance in 2011-12. The % award for performance against the Performance Scorecard reflects a reduction of 2.4% following the application by the Committee of clawback provisions to reflect the likelihood that for one measure on the Performance Scorecard (interruptions to water supply lasting more than 6 hours) the result previously reported for 2011-12 was overstated.
/ Under the Long Term Variable Pay Scheme 2010 (‘LTVPS’), staged awards may be made under the customer equity element of the scheme (see page 38). Over the life of the scheme so far, a staged award of 10% was made in respect of performance in 2010-11, 20% was made in respect of 2011-12, and, reflecting another year of strong financial performance, 30% has been made in respect of 2012-13. Although Dŵr Cymru’s provisional ranking was fourth in the Ofwat SIM league table for 2012-13, its lower ranking in Ofwat’s published league tables in 2010-11 means that, based on the provisional SIM figures, there was no entitlement under the Customer Service Award (see page 40), which is calculated on a rolling three-year average basis.

Further details on how our Remuneration Policy functions and how it is implemented in practice can be found in the following Policy and Implementation Reports.
The Government Department for Business, Innovation & Skills ('BIS') has produced draft regulations which will have an impact on the content and presentation of information in the Remuneration Report. Consultation is progressing and the legislation will take effect from 1 October 2013.

Though the new reporting requirements will not be directly applicable to Glas Cymru Cyfyngedig, as they apply just to UK listed companies, the Committee recognises that adoption of the disclosure requirements, where relevant, will be beneficial to our Members and other stakeholders. Accordingly, this year's Remuneration Report has been split into two sections: a Policy Report, setting out our Remuneration Policy, and an Implementation Report, setting out how the Policy has been implemented over the year to 31 March 2013. In addition there is additional information which I hope will make the Report easier to read and assess.

We were pleased the 2011-12 Remuneration Report received a unanimous vote of approval at the 2012 Annual General Meeting ('AGM'). A resolution for the approval of both parts of this report will be put to Members by way of a single advisory vote at the 2013 AGM at which I will be happy to answer any questions. I hope that Members will continue to be supportive of this resolution and our approach to executive pay generally.

James Strachan
Chairman of the Remuneration Committee
13 June 2013
This Remuneration Report has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006 and is fully compliant with provisions of The UK Corporate Governance Code (the Governance Code). Furthermore, in response to the UK Government’s draft legislation regarding the reporting of Directors’ remuneration, we have incorporated a number of revised reporting requirements into this year’s Report and the Report has been split into a Policy Report and an Implementation Report.

Recommendation
The Committee remains satisfied that whilst the Remuneration Policy includes variable elements linked to performance, the Policy does not encourage risk taking which is inappropriate in light of the risk profile of the Company, and ensures that remuneration levels rise and fall depending on performance. The inclusion of variable elements of pay also ensures that the Policy is sufficiently competitive to attract and retain high quality staff. This in turn ensures that it is well aligned to the long term success of Dŵr Cymru and the interest of its customers. The Committee thus recommends this 2013 Remuneration Report for approval by the Members of Glas Cymru.

Policy Report
Remuneration Policy
The current Remuneration Policy was re-approved by Members at the AGM in July 2012. The Policy aims to align executive remuneration with the implementation of the Company’s strategy to deliver the best possible outcomes for our customers and to protect the environment. It shows clearly how remuneration is linked to performance both annually and over the five year regulatory period that will end in March 2015 (‘the AMP5 period’). This is achieved by paying remuneration at levels which are sufficiently competitive to recruit and retain high quality staff whilst ensuring that the remuneration packages are structured so as to discourage inappropriate risk taking.

Recommendation
The Committee actively seeks to engage with Members and other stakeholders and values their input in helping to shape Dŵr Cymru’s Remuneration Policy.

The table on page 36 provides a summary of the components constituting the Executive Directors’ remuneration packages as per Dŵr Cymru’s Remuneration Policy.
### Summary of the components constituting the Executive Directors’ remuneration packages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose and link to strategy</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Opportunity</th>
<th>Performance metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base salary</strong></td>
<td>Reviewed annually and fixed for 12 months with effect from 1 April. Review reflects:</td>
<td>• Annual increases generally linked to those of the wider workforce through the Remuneration Committee</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To help recruit, retain and motivate high calibre employees</td>
<td>• Role, experience and performance</td>
<td>• Increases awarded throughout the rest of the broader workforce</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wider economic conditions</td>
<td>• Total organisational salary budgets</td>
<td>• Takes periodic account of levels in other utilities and in the wider market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Total organisational salary budgets</td>
<td>• Increases awarded throughout the rest of the broader workforce</td>
<td>• Takes periodic account of levels in other utilities and in the wider market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Takes periodic account of levels in other utilities and in the wider market</td>
<td>• Increases awarded throughout the rest of the broader workforce</td>
<td>• Takes periodic account of levels in other utilities and in the wider market</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Benefits</strong></td>
<td>Directors are entitled to private medical insurance, permanent health cover and life insurance</td>
<td>• 1/45th of salary accrual for each year of pensionable service (except Peter Perry – 1/60th) subject to maximum of 2/3rds of final pensionable salary</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To provide a market competitive benefits package to help recruit and retain employees</td>
<td>• Directors do not receive company cars or car allowances</td>
<td>• 1/45th of salary accrual for each year of pensionable service (except Peter Perry – 1/60th) subject to maximum of 2/3rds of final pensionable salary</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Healthcare benefits promote business continuity</td>
<td>• 1/45th of salary accrual for each year of pensionable service (except Peter Perry – 1/60th) subject to maximum of 2/3rds of final pensionable salary</td>
<td>• 1/45th of salary accrual for each year of pensionable service (except Peter Perry – 1/60th) subject to maximum of 2/3rds of final pensionable salary</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pension</strong></td>
<td>The Company operates a defined benefit pension scheme</td>
<td>• Maximum AVP potential of 100% of salary, for the achievement of stretching performance conditions</td>
<td>For 2013-14 three components: operating cost efficiency (40%), Performance Scorecard (40%), strategic and personal (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To help recruit and retain high calibre employees</td>
<td>• Where contributions would exceed either the lifetime or annual contribution limits provision is made by way of an unfunded EFRBS</td>
<td>• Different measures and weightings may be used in future years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Discrete post-retirement planning provision</td>
<td>• Normal retirement age of 60</td>
<td>• See page 37, figure 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVPS</strong></td>
<td>AVPS targets reviewed annually by the Committee</td>
<td>• Maximum AVP potential of 100% of salary, for the achievement of stretching performance conditions</td>
<td>For 2013-14 three components: operating cost efficiency (40%), Performance Scorecard (40%), strategic and personal (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To incentivise the annual delivery of stretching financial, non-financial and strategic targets and delivery of personal objectives</td>
<td>• Targets designed to relate to areas of the business over which executive has particular control</td>
<td>• Different measures and weightings may be used in future years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Outturn determined by the Committee after the year end based on performance against targets</td>
<td>• Paid as cash</td>
<td>• See page 37, figure 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not pensionable</td>
<td>• Clawback provisions apply</td>
<td>• See page 37, figure 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LTVP</strong></td>
<td>LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>50% based on relative SIM performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To align the long term interests of the Executive Directors with those of Dŵr Cymru’s customers and stakeholders</td>
<td>Cash awards based on stretching performance targets relating to:</td>
<td>• LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To incentivise achievement of value creation over the long term</td>
<td>• Rolling three year relative SIM performance</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To aid retention</td>
<td>• Customer equity performance over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>• LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New appointments</strong></td>
<td>LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>• LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Base salary levels will be set to reflect the experience of the individual, appropriate market data and internal relativities, if it is considered appropriate to appoint a new Executive Director on a below market salary they may be subject to a series of increases to the desired salary positioning over an appropriate timeframe subject to performance in post.</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>• LTVPS 2010 approved by Members</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Normal policy will be for the new Executive Director to participate in the remuneration structure detailed above.</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Should it be the case that the Remuneration Committee considered it necessary to buyout incentive pay which an individual would forfeit on leaving their current employer, such compensation, where possible, would be structured so that the terms of the buyout mirrored the form and structure of the remuneration being replaced.</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>• 300% of salary over the five year regulatory period to 31 March 2015</td>
<td>50% based on customer equity performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy for payments to departing executives</strong></td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Significant element of mitigation is built into the contract should the Company choose to exercise its option to make a payment in lieu of notice.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Should an Executive Director resign, he/she will be expected to work their notice period unless an alternative arrangement such as garden leave or a reduced notice period is agreed. The Executive will receive any accrued Annual Variable Pay or Long Term Variable Pay awards in accordance with the respective scheme rules.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• In the event that the Company terminates the Executive’s employment, the Company will take legal advice and will pay to the Executive only such amount as the Executive is legally entitled to receive. The Annual Variable Pay and Long Term Variable scheme rules in relation to leavers will apply.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td>• The Executive Directors have service contracts that are subject to a 12 month notice period and which do not provide for compensation to be payable in the event of early termination by the Company. At the Company’s discretion, an Executive Director may be paid base salary alone in lieu of notice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Scenarios

The Committee aims to provide an appropriate proportion of the Executive Directors’ total remuneration through performance-related variable pay and figure 1 shows a breakdown of the value of the various elements of the remuneration package, assuming below threshold, target and maximum levels of performance are achieved. The aim of the Committee is to provide, at a target level of performance, for around 60% of the total remuneration package to be fixed and around 40% to be variable.

Implementation Report

1. Remuneration Policy for Executive Directors in more detail

Policy for base salary

Following a review in March 2013 the Committee has set the base salaries for the Executive Directors for 2013-14 (effective 1 April 2013) as below. This was approved in the context of an average staff increase of 3%, however, the Committee considered an increase of 2% to be more appropriate when compared to the positioning of the current base salaries and pay inflation in the executive pay market more generally.

Nigel Annett (Managing Director) £287,254 (2012: £281,622)
Christopher Jones (Finance Director) £226,495 (2012: £222,054)
Peter Perry (Operations Director) £215,467 (2012: £211,242)

Policy for variable pay

Annual Variable Pay Scheme (AVPS)

The maximum variable pay that Executive Directors can earn under the AVPS in 2013-14 is unchanged and equates to 100% of base salary. The achievement of variable pay is assessed across three components, as illustrated (figure 2).

Details of the Executive Directors variable pay awards for 2012-13 are set out under the table of Payments made to Directors’ in this report on page 40.

Long Term Variable Pay Scheme 2010 (‘LTVP’)

The objective of the LTVP is to align the longer term aspects of total remuneration with Company performance over the course of the five year regulatory period ending on 31 March 2015. The awards comprise a cash payment. Under the LTVPs two types of cash award can be made:

/ A Customer Equity Award, which is measured by the creation of customer equity over the regulatory period.
Customer equity is the group’s financial reserves, i.e. regulatory capital value less net debt (as defined for the purposes of the quarterly Investor Report); and
/ A Customer Service Award, which is measured by the Company’s average ranking in the Ofwat league table for SIM over the last three years. The Customer Service Award is therefore informed by and rewards the Company’s relative performance compared with other companies in the sector.

The LTVP performance targets reflect the Board’s ambition that Dŵr Cymru should rank alongside the leading companies in the industry on key measures for customer service and long term financial efficiency for the benefit of customers. SIM is used for the Customer Service Award and comprises two measures of customer service. One is a qualitative measure reflecting the results of independent research carried out on behalf of Ofwat to capture customer satisfaction with the service they have received, and the other a quantitative measure which covers customer complaints and unwanted calls.
The performance targets under each of the LTVPS awards are described more fully in the table:

| Performance measure | Customer Service | Customer Equity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rationale for selected measures</td>
<td>Measured by reference to Ofwat's SIM measure</td>
<td>Actual customer equity at 31 March 2015 (the end of the AMP5 period) compared to budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rationale for selected measures</td>
<td>Ofwat’s SIM measure of consumer experience which is independent, objective and measurable, and which allows relative performance to be compared against other water companies</td>
<td>This is the strongest financial measure of the value of the customers’ interest in the business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance period</td>
<td>3 Financial Years immediately prior to the Financial Year in which an award is made</td>
<td>1 April 2010 to 31 March 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance target</td>
<td>Out of UK’s 10 water and sewerage companies: • 100% of award payable for achieving first position • 75% of award payable for achieving second position • 50% of award payable for achieving third position • 25% of award payable for achieving fourth position • 0% of award payable for a ranking of fifth or below</td>
<td>• 100% of award payable for achieving customer equity on 31 March 2015 of £100m in excess of the 2010 business plan target • 0% of the award will be payable for meeting or falling short of the 2010 business plan target • Straight-line pro rata calculation between the business plan target and £100m above this target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The customer equity target may be amended in certain circumstances at the discretion of the Committee. These circumstances include where (i) there are differences between actual inflation and the assumptions originally made; (ii) the Board had modified a relevant policy after the original forecast was made (e.g. dispersal of customer equity by way of additional investment to deliver extra benefits for customers); and (iii) there is a material influence on financial reserves that was not originally foreseen and which the Committee determines to be outside the control of the Executive Directors.

2 Payment may be deferred at the discretion of the Committee in the event that there is a significant deterioration in performance. Deferral may be for up to two years, or until the shortfall has been remedied, whichever is the earlier.

3 When determining the level of any staged or final award the Committee will have regard to the rating of the group’s bonds and may at its discretion defer all or part of an award if the group’s bonds have been put on credit downgrade.

4 In circumstances where the Committee makes an interim or staged payment against the customer equity target but the final customer equity figure at the end of the five year performance period is less than the sum of the interim payment or payments, then such payments will be reconciled (or ‘trued-up’) so that any excess sums are clawed back from participants.

The period over which performance is determined and the potential payment dates over the regulatory period to 31 March 2015 are illustrated in the schematic below:

Details of payments made to Executive Directors under LTVPS for 2012/13 are set out under the table ‘Payments made to Directors’ in this report on page 40.

Policy for Pension and Benefits

The Executive Directors are all members of the Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water Pension Scheme (the Scheme), which is a defined benefit scheme. The Normal Retirement Age under this scheme is 60 and benefits accrue at 1/45th of salary per year of pensionable service (except for Peter Perry who accrues at 1/60th of salary for each year of pensionable service), subject to a maximum overall pension at normal retirement age of two thirds of final pensionable salary. The scheme also provides life cover of four times pensionable pay for death in service, a pension payable in the event of ill health and a spouse’s pension payable on death. Executive Directors also participate in non-contributory permanent health and private medical insurance schemes.

As previously reported, in response to the tax changes in pension tax relief for high earners, the Committee agreed that for the four executives affected by these changes (including the three Executive Directors), instead of funding the cost of the pension provision throughout the executive’s career (i.e. before retirement) the Company would provide for the additional value above the annual and lifetime allowances by way of an unfunded Employer Financed Retirement Benefit Scheme (EFRBS), where the cost of the pension is met by the Company after the executive’s retirement.

New Executive Directors will be automatically enrolled into the DCWW Group Personal Pension Plan.

Nigel Annett
Nigel Annett will leave the Company on 31 March 2014. He will receive his accrued AVP award for the performance year 2013/2014. At the 2013 AGM a resolution will be put to Members’ vote to make a payment to him reflecting his benefits accrued under the LTVPS as at 31 March 2014. This payment reflects Nigel Annett’s long term contribution to the business and is considered fair on the basis that he will have completed the pro rata performance period for this stage payment for 2013-14. He will receive no other employment related payments.

1 Richard Curtis’ employment ceased in October 2012 and he is in receipt of pension benefits.
Policy for Chairman and Non-Executive Director Fees

The Committee determines the fee payable to the Chairman of the Board and, separately, the Executive Directors and the Chairman approve the fee level payable to the Non-Executive Directors.

During the year the review of the fees payable to Non-Executive Directors resulted in fees being increased by around 1.8%. Benchmark data provided independently by New Bridge Street ('NBS') reflected the new fee as broadly in line with mid-market levels.

The fees payable for the financial year that commenced on 1 April 2013 are:

- **Chairman** £208,000 (2012: £204,000)
- **Senior Independent Director** £67,500 (2012: £66,300)
- **Non-Executive Director** £57,000 (2012: £56,000)

The Chairman and the Non-Executive Directors are appointed under letters of appointment terminable by either party on three months’ written notice.

Dates of letters of appointment of the Non-Executive Directors were:

- Robert Ayling 3 April 2008
- John Bryant 5 March 2001
- James Strachan 1 June 2007
- Stephen Palmer 26 October 2009
- Menna Richards 22 November 2010
- Anna Walker 3 March 2011
- John Warren 3 May 2012

External appointments

The Board recognises the benefit of broadened experience that might be achieved through the involvement of Executive Directors in external activities. Any appointment is subject to annual approval by the Committee and, subject to the Committee's agreement, a Director may retain any fees. Christopher Jones held an appointment as a Non-Executive Director of the Principality Building Society until 30 April 2013, in respect of which he retained a fee of £43,000 per annum.

2. How pay is determined, what we paid in 2012-13 and link between pay and performance

Remuneration Committee

The Committee is chaired by James Strachan. In 2012-13 the other members of the Committee were Robert Ayling, John Bryant, Anthony Hobson, Anna Walker and John Warren. John Warren was appointed a member of the Committee when he joined the Board in May 2012, and Anthony Hobson stood down in July 2012 when he retired from the Board. The Board considers that all the members of the Committee are independent and, in the case of Robert Ayling, that he was considered to be independent on his appointment as Chairman of the Company. The Managing Director and the Director of Human Resources attend meetings of the Committee by invitation (except where their own remuneration is discussed) and the Company Secretary acts as secretary to the Committee. The Committee was convened on four occasions in 2012-13.

The role of the Committee is to approve, implement and keep under review the Remuneration Policy of the Board and specifically:

a) to agree the Policy and framework and service contracts for the remuneration of the Chairman and the Executive Directors and the remuneration framework for certain senior managers (the Ddir Cymru Executive and Leadership Teams); and
b) to determine variable pay arrangements that encourage and recognise good performance and that rewards individuals in a fair and responsible manner for their contribution to the success of the Company.

In 2012-13 the Committee received independent advice from New Bridge Street ('NBS'), a trading name of Aon Hewitt Limited (an Aon plc company). NBS advised on market best practice in the design of incentive performance arrangements, the benchmarking of Directors’ remuneration and fees and provided general remuneration advice to the Committee. During 2012-13 NBS received fees amounting to £67,719 in relation to advice provided to the Committee and did not provide any other advice to the Company. The Committee also sought advice and information from Quantum Actuarial LLP (pension data). The Committee has concluded that there are no conflicts of interest in relation to this organisation supporting both the Committee and the Company in the implementation of its decisions.

During 2012-13, the activities of the Committee included:

- / approving the 2012 Remuneration Report;
- / assessing performance achieved against the conditions attached to the 2011-12 AVPS and LTVPs and agreeing awards to be made to participants;
- / reviewing salaries and AVPS for the broader executive and leadership teams;
- / conducting a mid AMP review of the incentive plan structure resulting in minor changes to the Strategic and Personal element of the AVP (as described in the Policy section);
- / consideration of remuneration and pension trends and best practice;
- / determining the salary of the Executive Directors and the Chairman's fee for 2013-14; and
- / determining the total remuneration for the Managing Director and Finance Director of DCCS Ltd.

At last year’s AGM Members voted unanimously in favour of the Directors’ Remuneration Report.
Comparison of overall pay and performance

The chart, figure 3, together with the table below it shows how our pay policy has compared with performance and compares the total pay of our Managing Director to year on year growth in customer equity (i.e. financial reserves being regulatory capital value less net debt) over the previous five years.

Relative importance of spend on pay

It is important that the Committee considers the cost of remuneration in relation to other factors such as company performance, the table in figure 4 sets out the change in total expenditure, total employee remuneration costs and customer equity in 2013 compared to 2012.

Payments made to Directors in 2012/13

The table below sets out the Directors’ emoluments in respect of the year ended 31 March 2013 (excluding pension benefits).

![Figure 3 – Customer Equity over 5 years to 31 March 2013](image-url)

![Figure 4](image-url)
Determination of 2012-13 AVP outcome
Based on overall performance against the scorecard, 55.8% of maximum was awarded (22.3% of salary).

Financial performance in 2012-13 was based on operating cost efficiency. Based on Dŵr Cymru’s level of performance, 70.8% of maximum was awarded (28.3% of salary).

Based on the Committee’s consideration of the performance against each of the Executive Director’s strategic and personal objectives (which included delivery of the capital investment programme and improved staff awareness of the Executives’ leadership and company vision for the future measured by the Engagement Survey 2012), 50% - 65% of maximum was awarded (10% - 13% of salary).

Determination of 2012-13 LTVP outcome
For the customer service element of the scheme, Dŵr Cymru’s SIM rating was provisionally ranked fifth relative to the SIM rating of the other water and sewerage companies over the three year performance period to 31 March 2013. As a consequence, no award was payable. The maximum potential is 30% of salary (as per the vesting schedule as set out on page 38). However, the final SIM scores for 2012-13 have not yet been published and there is a possibility that Dŵr Cymru’s ranking for 2012-13 could improve meaning that over the three year average period the final position could be fourth (leading to a payment of 7.5% of salary). The Committee will monitor this position and describe any different outcomes in next year’s report.

For the customer equity element of the scheme measured from 1 April 2010 to 31 March 2015, a staged payment at the maximum of 30% of salary has been made. This has been based on the Committee’s determination that customer equity at 31 March 2013 of £1,600m exceeds projections for meeting the required £100m in excess of the 2010 business plan target for full vesting over the life of the plan.

This brings the sum of the staged payments to 60% for the three years of AMP5.

Pension benefits
Nigel Annett, Christopher Jones and Peter Perry are all members of the Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water Pension Scheme (‘the Scheme’), which is a defined benefit arrangement. Their normal retirement age under this scheme is 60 and benefits accrue at 1/45th of salary per year of pensionable service (except for Peter Perry who accrues 1/60th of salary for each year of pensionable service), subject to a maximum overall pension at normal retirement age of two-thirds of final pensionable salary.

The pension benefits earned by the Executive Directors in the Scheme during the year are shown in the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Accrued Pensions (per annum) at 31 March 2013</th>
<th>Real increase in accrued pension in the year (net of inflation)</th>
<th>Transfer value at 31 March 2012</th>
<th>Increase in transfer value</th>
<th>Transfer value at 31 March 2013</th>
<th>Contributions paid by Director</th>
<th>Transfer value of real increase in accrued pension, net of member contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC Annett</td>
<td>£135,539</td>
<td>£6,004</td>
<td>£2,198,343</td>
<td>£405,623</td>
<td>£2,603,966</td>
<td>£25,346</td>
<td>£90,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA Jones</td>
<td>£89,173</td>
<td>£4,769</td>
<td>£1,145,830</td>
<td>£225,281</td>
<td>£1,371,111</td>
<td>£19,985</td>
<td>£53,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD Perry</td>
<td>£111,688</td>
<td>£3,305</td>
<td>£1,599,200</td>
<td>£266,915</td>
<td>£1,866,115</td>
<td>£19,012</td>
<td>£36,210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Disclosures are addressed to Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig and sets out the pension cost information required to meet the Disclosures requirements.
2. The work has been carried out in accordance with the generic Technical Actuarial Standards and the Pensions Technical Actuarial Standard.
3. Disclosures should not be used or relied upon for any other purpose and are provided solely for the purpose outlined above.
4. The transfer values have been calculated in accordance with the Statement of Transfer Value Principles which has been agreed by the Trustee of the Scheme.
5. The accrued pensions include previous service in Hyder Water and United Utilities Pension Schemes.
6. The accrued pension figures include both the standard entitlements within the Scheme (which are restricted in accordance with HMRC limits) and the top-up benefits which are payable under the Company’s Employer Financed Retirement Benefits Scheme (EFRBS).
STATEMENT OF DIRECTORS’ RESPONSIBILITIES

The directors are responsible for preparing the Directors’ Report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

Company law requires the directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the directors have prepared the financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) as adopted by the European Union. Under company law the directors must not approve the financial statements unless they are satisfied that they give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the company and of the profit or loss of the company for that period. In preparing these financial statements, the directors are required to:

/ select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
/ make judgements and accounting estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
/ state whether applicable IFRSs as adopted by the European Union have been followed, subject to any material departures disclosed and explained in the financial statements;
/ prepare the financial statement on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the company will continue in business.

The directors are responsible for keeping adequate accounting records that are sufficient to show and explain the company’s transactions and disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the company and enable them to ensure that the financial statement comply with the Companies Act 2006. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the company and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the company’s website. Legislation in the United Kingdom governing the preparation and dissemination of financial statement may differ from legislation in other jurisdictions.

By order of the Board

Nicola Williams
General Counsel and Company Secretary
13 June 2013
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT TO THE MEMBERS OF GLAS CYMRU CYFYNGEDIG

We have audited the group and parent company financial statements (the ‘financial statements’) of Glas Cymru Cyfyngedig for the year ended 31 March 2013 which comprise the Consolidated Income Statement, the Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income, the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Reserves, the Consolidated and Parent Company Balance Sheets, the Consolidated Cash Flow Statement and the related notes. The financial reporting framework that has been applied in their preparation is applicable law and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRSs) as adopted by the European Union and, as regards the parent company financial statements, as applied in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006.

Respective responsibilities of directors and auditors
As explained more fully in the Directors’ Responsibilities Statement set out on page 42, the directors are responsible for the preparation of the financial statements and for being satisfied that they give a true and fair view. Our responsibility is to audit the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland). Those standards require us to comply with the Auditing Practices Board’s Ethical Standards for Auditors.

This report, including the opinions, has been prepared for and only for the company’s members as a body in accordance with Chapter 3 of Part 16 of the Companies Act 2006 and for no other purpose. We do not, in giving these opinions, accept or assume responsibility for any other purpose or to any other person to whom this report is shown or into whose hands it may come save where expressly agreed by our prior consent in writing.

Scope of the audit of the financial statements
An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error.

This includes an assessment of: whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the company’s circumstances and have been consistently applied and adequately disclosed; the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by the directors; and the overall presentation of the financial statements. In addition, we read all the financial and non-financial information in the Report and Accounts to identify material inconsistencies with the audited financial statements. If we become aware of any apparent material misstatements or inconsistencies we consider the implications for our report.

Opinion on financial statements
In our opinion:
/ the financial statements give a true and fair view of the state of the group’s and of the parent company’s affairs as at 31 March 2013 and of the group’s profit and group and parent company’s cash flows for the year then ended;
/ the group financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union;
/ the parent company financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the European Union and as applied in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act 2006; and
/ the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Companies Act 2006, and as regards the group financial statements, Article 4 of the IAS Regulation.

Opinion on other matter prescribed by the Companies Act 2006
In our opinion, the information given in the Directors’ Report for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements.

Matters on which we are required to report by exception
We have nothing to report in respect of the following matters where the Companies Act 2006 requires us to report to you if, in our opinion:
/ adequate accounting records have not been kept by the parent company, or returns adequate for our audit have not been received from branches not visited by us; or
/ the parent company financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
/ certain disclosures of directors’ remuneration specified by law are not made; or
/ we have not received all the information and explanations we require for our audit.

Other matters
The directors have requested, (because the company applies Listing Rules 9.8.6R 5 and 6 of the Financial Conduct Authority as if it were a listed company), that we review the parts of the Corporate Governance Statement relating to the company’s compliance with the nine provisions of the UK Corporate Governance Code specified for our review by the Listing Rules of the Financial Conduct Authority. We have nothing to report in respect of this review.

At the request of the directors, we have also audited the Directors’ Remuneration Report that is described as having been audited. In our opinion, the part of the Directors’ Remuneration Report to be audited has been properly prepared in accordance with the Companies Act 2006.

Katharine Finn (Statutory Auditor) for and on behalf of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Chartered Accountants and Statutory Auditors, Cardiff.
13 June 2013
## PRIMARY STATEMENTS

### Consolidated Income Statement for the year ended 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>716.4</td>
<td>695.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operating costs:**

- Operational expenditure 3 (295.0) (272.5)
- Infrastructure renewals expenditure 3 (79.4) (81.1)
- Depreciation and amortisation 3 (158.7) (150.0)

**Operating profit**

183.3 191.4

**Financing costs:**

- Interest payable and similar charges 4a (141.3) (189.7)
- Interest receivable and similar income 4a 7.0 4.9
- Fair value losses on derivative financial instruments 4b (61.2) (137.6)

**Loss before taxation**

(12.2) (131.0)

**Taxation**

13.8 27.0

**Profit/(loss) for the year**

1.6 (104.0)

### Underlying profit for the year

(Profit before taxation, fair value adjustments and accounting profit on lease termination)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss before taxation per Income Statement</td>
<td>(12.2)</td>
<td>(131.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment for:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Fair value losses on derivative financial statements (see note 4b)</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>137.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Accounting profit on lease termination</td>
<td>(20.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underlying profit for the year</strong></td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The company has elected to take the exemption under section 408 of the Companies Act 2006 not to present the parent company's income statement. The profit of the parent company for the year was nil (2012: nil).

### Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the year ended 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit/(loss) for the year</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>(104.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial gain/(loss) recognised in the pension scheme</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>(25.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement on deferred tax asset relating to pension scheme</td>
<td>(0.9)</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income/(expense) for the year</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>(123.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Consolidated Statement of Changes in Reserves/(Deficit) for the year ended 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Deficit)/reserves at 1 April</td>
<td>(77.4)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total comprehensive income/(expense) for the year</strong></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>(123.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deficit at 31 March</strong></td>
<td>(74.4)</td>
<td>(77.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were no changes in reserves of the parent company during the year (2012: none).
Consolidated Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3,336.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intangible assets</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investments</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,402.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other receivables</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>526.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- derivative financial instruments</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>689.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,091.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(542.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- borrowings</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(79.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- derivative financial instruments</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(37.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(670.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net current assets</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(67.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- borrowings</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(2,815.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- derivative financial instruments</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>(325.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement benefit obligations</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provisions</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>(10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-current liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3,251.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net assets before deferred tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>169.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred tax - net</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>(243.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deficit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(74.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial statements on pages 44 to 73 were approved by the Board of directors on 13 June 2013 and were signed on its behalf by:

N C Annett
Managing Director

C A Jones
Finance Director
## Parent Company Balance Sheet as at 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>Assets</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-current assets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Investment in subsidiaries</td>
<td>9b</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade and other receivables</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current assets</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current liabilities</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Net assets</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retained earnings</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total reserves</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The financial statements on pages 44 to 73 were approved by the Board of directors on 13 June 2013 and were signed on its behalf by:

N C Annett  
Managing Director

C A Jones  
Finance Director
Consolidated Cash Flow Statement for the year ended 31 March 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Note</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from operating activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash generated from operations 17a</td>
<td>326.0</td>
<td>337.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest received</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest paid 17b</td>
<td>(124.5)</td>
<td>(98.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax received</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash inflow from operating activities</td>
<td>213.0</td>
<td>246.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from investing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(252.4)</td>
<td>(185.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants and contributions received</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash outflow from investing activities</td>
<td>(238.7)</td>
<td>(176.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash (outflow)/inflow before financing activities</td>
<td>(25.7)</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash flows from financing activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term loans received</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond issue proceeds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>128.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term loan repayments</td>
<td>(13.5)</td>
<td>(13.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital element of finance lease payments</td>
<td>(103.6)</td>
<td>(7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other loan repayments</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash (outflow)/inflow from financing activities</td>
<td>(117.4)</td>
<td>131.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>(Decrease)/increase in net cash</strong> 18</td>
<td>(143.1)</td>
<td>202.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash at 1 April</td>
<td>300.5</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net cash at 31 March</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>300.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parent company had no cash flows during the year (2012: none).
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Accounting policies, financing risk management and accounting estimates

Accounting policies for the year ended 31 March 2013

The principal accounting policies adopted in the preparation of these financial statements are set out below. These policies have been applied consistently to both of the years presented.

Basis of preparation

The consolidated financial statements of Glas Cymru Cyfyngedig have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union (IFRSs as adopted by the EU), the Companies Act 2006 that applies to companies reporting under IFRS and IFRIC interpretations. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared under the historical cost convention, as modified by the revaluation of financial assets and financial liabilities (including derivative financial instruments) at fair value through profit or loss.

In the year, there were no changes to the reporting framework which impact on Glas Cymru’s financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2013.

At the date of approval of these financial statements, the following relevant Standards and Interpretations, which have not been applied in these financial statements, were in issue but not yet effective:

- IFRS 7 Financial Instruments: Disclosures (amendment)
- IFRS 9 Financial Instruments: Classification and Measurement
- IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements
- IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement
- IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements (amendment)
- IAS 16 Property, Plant and Equipment (amendment)
- IAS 19 Employee Benefits (amendment)
- IAS 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation (amendment)

The impact of these Standards and Interpretations is being assessed, but the directors anticipate that their adoption in future periods will have no material impact on the financial statements of the group.

Basis of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the company and its subsidiaries. The results of companies and businesses acquired during the year are dealt with in the consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition. Intra-group transactions and profits are eliminated on consolidation.

Revenue recognition

Revenue represents the income receivable in the ordinary course of business for services provided, excluding value added tax. Where services have been provided but for which no invoice has been raised at the year-end an estimate of the value is included in revenue (see the ‘Critical accounting estimates’ section for further details). Revenue recognised reflects the value of services provided to customers in the year. Where customers have made payments in advance as at the year end, this is recognised as deferred income.

Segment reporting

Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decision-maker. The chief operating decision-maker, who is responsible for allocating resources and assessing performance of the operating segment, has been identified as the steering committee that makes strategic decisions.

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment comprise:

a) Infrastructure assets (being mains and sewers, impounding and pumped raw water storage reservoirs, dams, sludge pipelines and sea outfalls); and

b) Other assets (including properties, overground operational structures and equipment, and fixtures and fittings).

The carrying value of assets is reviewed for impairment if circumstances dictate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Asset lives and residual values are reviewed annually.

1. Infrastructure assets

Infrastructure assets comprise principally impounding reservoirs and a network of underground water and wastewater systems. For accounting purposes, the water system is segmented into components representing categories of asset classes with similar characteristics and asset lives. The wastewater system is segmented into components representing geographical operating areas, reflecting the way the group operates its wastewater activities.

Expenditure on infrastructure assets relating to increases in capacity, enhancements or material replacements of network components is treated as additions, which are included at cost. Expenditure incurred in repairing and maintaining the operating capability of individual infrastructure components, ‘infrastructure renewals expenditure’, is expensed in the year in which the expenditure is incurred.

The depreciation charge for infrastructure assets is determined for each component of the network and is based on each component’s cost, estimated residual value and the expected remaining average useful life. The useful average economic lives of the infrastructure components range principally from 60 to 150 years.
2. Other assets
Other assets are depreciated on a straight line basis over their estimated useful economic lives, which are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Type</th>
<th>Economic Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freehold buildings</td>
<td>60 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational structures</td>
<td>5 – 80 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed plant</td>
<td>8 – 40 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicles, mobile plant, equipment and computer hardware and software</td>
<td>3 – 16 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assets in the course of construction are not depreciated until commissioned.

Intangible assets
Intangible assets, which comprise principally computer software and systems developments, are included at cost less accumulated amortisation. Cost reflects purchase price together with any expenditure directly attributable to bringing the asset into use, including directly attributable internal costs. Research expenditure is recognised as an expense as incurred. Costs incurred on development projects are recognised as intangible assets when the relevant recognition criteria are met (as per IAS 38).

The carrying values of intangible assets are reviewed for impairment if circumstances dictate the carrying value may not be recovered. Intangible assets are amortised on a straight line basis over their estimated useful economic lives, which range between 3 and 20 years. These asset lives are reviewed annually.

Leased assets
Where assets are financed by leasing arrangements, which transfer substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of an asset to the lessee (finance leases), the assets are capitalised and included in ‘property, plant and equipment’ with the corresponding liability to the lessor included within ‘financial liabilities – borrowings’. Leasing payments are treated as consisting of a capital element and a finance charge, the capital element reducing the obligation to the lessor with the finance charge being recognised over the period of the lease based on its implicit rate so as to give a constant rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability.

All other leases are regarded as operating leases. Rental costs arising under operating leases are charged to the income statement on a straight-line basis over the period of the lease.

Grants and customer contributions
Grants and customer contributions in respect of expenditure on property, plant and equipment have been offset against these assets.

Grants in respect of revenue expenditure are credited to the income statement over the same period as the related expenditure is incurred.

Cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents include highly liquid investments that are readily convertible into known amounts of cash and which are subject to an insignificant risk of change in value. Such investments are normally those with less than three months’ maturity from the date of acquisition and typically include cash in hand and deposits with banks or other financial institutions, less any overdrafts.

Pension costs
1. Defined benefit scheme
A majority of the group’s employees belongs to the group’s defined benefit pension scheme, which is funded by both employer’s and employees’ contributions. Actuarial valuations of the scheme are carried out at intervals of not more than three years. Contribution rates are based on the advice of a professionally qualified actuary.

The net asset or liability recognised in the balance sheet represents the present value of the defined benefit obligations less the fair value of the plan’s assets.

The group’s defined benefit scheme service cost, being the increase in the present value of the liabilities expected to arise from employee service in the period, is included in operating costs. The expected return on scheme assets and interest on scheme liabilities are included in financing costs in the income statement. Actuarial gains and losses on experience adjustments and changes in actuarial assumptions are recognised in full in the period in which they occur in the statement of comprehensive income.

2. Defined contribution scheme
The group also operates a defined contribution scheme for those employees who are not members of the defined benefit scheme. Obligations for contributions to the scheme are recognised as an expense in the income statement in the period in which they arise.
Financial liabilities
Debt is measured initially at fair value, being net proceeds after deduction of directly attributable issue costs, with subsequent measurement at amortised cost. Debt issue costs are recognised in the income statement over the expected term of such instruments at a constant rate on the carrying amount.

Trade payables are obligations to pay for goods/services acquired in the ordinary course of business from suppliers. Accounts payable are classified as current liabilities if payment is due within one year, or in the normal operating cycle of the business if longer. If not, they are presented as non-current liabilities.

Derivative instruments utilised by the group are interest rate and inflation swaps. Derivative instruments are used for hedging purposes to alter the risk profile of existing underlying exposures within the group. Derivatives are recognised initially and subsequently re-measured at fair value (based on market price data from relevant counterparties). During the year to 31 March 2013, none of the group’s derivatives qualified for hedge accounting under IAS 39 (2012: none). These instruments are carried at fair value with changes in fair value being recognised immediately in the income statement.

Deferred taxation
Deferred income tax is provided in full, using the liability method, on temporary differences arising between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the consolidated financial statements. However, the deferred income tax is not accounted for if it arises from initial recognition of an asset or liability in a transaction other than a business combination that at the time of the transaction affects neither accounting nor taxable profit or loss. Deferred income tax is determined using tax rates (and laws) that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the balance sheet date and are expected to apply when the related deferred income tax asset is realised or the deferred income tax liability is settled.

Deferred income tax assets are recognised to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profit will be available against which the temporary differences can be utilised.

Provisions
Provisions for restructuring costs, dilapidations and uninsured losses are recognised when the group has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of past events, it is probable that an outflow of resources will be required to settle the obligation; and the amount has been estimated reliably. Restructuring provisions comprise employee severance and pension fund top-up costs. Where the group receives claims which are either not covered by insurance or where there is an element of the claim for which insurance cover is not available, a provision is made for the expected future liabilities. Provisions are not recognised for future operating losses.

Where there is a number of similar obligations, the likelihood that an outflow will be required in settlement is determined by considering the class of obligations as a whole. A provision is recognised even if the likelihood of an outflow with respect to any one item included in the same class of obligation may be small.

Exceptional items
Exceptional items are those significant items which are disclosed separately by virtue of their size and/or nature to enable a full understanding of the group’s financial performance.

Financing risk management objectives and policies
Treasury activities are managed within a formal set of treasury policies and objectives, which is reviewed regularly and approved by the Board. The policies specifically prohibit any transactions of a speculative nature and the use of complex financial instruments. Certain detailed policies for managing interest rate, currency and inflation risk and that for managing liquidity risk are approved by the Board and may be changed only with the consent of Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig’s Security Trustee. The risk is mitigated further by limiting exposure to any one counterparty. The group uses financial instruments to raise finance and manage operational risk; these instruments principally include listed bonds, finance leases, bank loan facilities and derivatives.

Credit risk
The group has a prudent policy for investing cash and short term bank deposits, set by the bond documentation within the Common Terms Agreement. Deposits of up to one year can be placed with counterparties that have a minimum short-term rating of A1/P1/F1 from Standard & Poor’s, Moody’s and Fitch Ratings respectively. Deposits of over one year should be placed with counterparties that have a minimum rating of AA-/Aa3/AA-.

In practice, in the current economic environment the group has adopted a more prudent approach to cash management and deposits are placed for a maximum of three months with banks subject to minimum short-term rating criteria of A1/P1/F1. Bond and commercial paper purchases of up to one year can be placed with certain AAA-rated supranations only. The maximum cash investment with a single counterparty was £60m (2012: £30m).

Interest rate risk
The group hedges at least 85% of its total outstanding financial liabilities, including finance leases, into either index-linked or fixed rate obligations. For this purpose floating rate interest liabilities are hedged through a combination of derivative instruments and cash balances. The regulatory framework under which revenues and the regulatory asset value are indexed also exposes the group to inflation risk. Therefore subject to market constraints and Board approval, the group therefore may seek to raise new debt through index-linked instruments or to enter into appropriate hedging transactions.

The group analyses its interest rate exposure on a dynamic basis. Various scenarios are simulated taking into consideration refinancing, renewal of existing positions, alternative financing and hedging. Of total borrowings of £2,895m as at 31 March 2013 (2012: £2,990m) none related to floating rate debt (2012: none). The group therefore considers overall interest rate exposure at the balance sheet date to be minimal.
As at 31 March 2013, 100% (2012: 100%) of the group’s gross debt was at fixed or index-linked (RPI) rates of interest after taking into account interest rate and RPI swaps. The ‘hedges’ established to manage interest rate risks are economic in nature, but do not satisfy the specific requirements of IAS 39 in order to be treated as hedges for accounting purposes. Accordingly, all movements in the fair value of derivative financial instruments are reflected in the income statement. This has resulted in a net liability of £359m in the balance sheet at 31 March 2013 (2012: £298m) but, assuming that the swaps are held to maturity, this will ultimately reduce to nil.

Power price hedges
The company enters into contracts which fix the price of a proportion of future power purchases in order to reduce the impact of power price variances. The company has forward-purchased around 70% of the estimated power requirement of the business over the remainder of the regulatory period to 31 March 2015. These contracts neither qualify as financial instruments under IAS 39 nor as onerous contracts under IAS 37 and, consequently, are not included in the financial statements until the contracts are effective.

Refinancing risk
Refinancing risk is managed by maintaining a balance between the continuity of funding and flexibility through the use of borrowings across a range of instruments, types and maturities. The group’s policy is to ensure that the maturity profile does not impose an excessive strain on its ability to repay loans. Under this policy, no more than 20% of the principal of group borrowings of £2,895m (2012: £2,990m) can fall due in any 24 month period.

Liquidity risk
The group maintains committed banking facilities in order to provide flexibility in the management of its liquidity.

Under the Common Terms Agreement which governs obligations to bond holders and other financial creditors, the group is required to have cash available to fund operations for 12 months. As at 31 March 2013, the group had committed undrawn borrowing facilities of £215m (2012: £215m) and cash and cash equivalents (excluding debt service payments account) of £98m (2012: £227m).

The group has revolving credit facilities totalling £140m with a group of six banks. £50m of these facilities remain available until May 2016, with the balance of £90m until May 2017. There is also a £10m overdraft facility (2012: £10m).

As at 31 March 2013 there was also a special liquidity facility of £135m (2012: £135m); this is required in order to meet certain interest and other obligations that cannot be funded through operating cash flow in the event of a standstill being declared by the Security Trustee, following an event of default under the group’s debt financing covenants. The facility is renewable on an annual basis.

Capital risk management
The group’s objective when managing capital is to safeguard its ability to continue as a going concern. Given the regulatory environment in which the group operates, the group monitors capital on the basis of the gearing ratio. This is calculated as net debt (as defined in the group’s borrowing covenants) as a proportion of its Regulatory Capital Value (RCV) as linked to movements in the Retail Prices Index and determined by Ofwat. As at 31 March 2013 gearing was 63%.

In respect of the risks detailed above, further quantitative disclosures are provided in note 15.

Critical accounting estimates
The preparation of financial statements which conform to IFRS requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Although these estimates are based on management’s best knowledge of the amount, event or actions, actual results ultimately may differ from those estimates.

Provision for impairment of trade receivables
Individual impairment losses on customer debts are calculated based on an individual assessment of expected cash flows. Collective impairment losses on receivables with similar credit risk are calculated using a statistical model. The key assumption in the model is the probability of a failure to recover amounts when they fall into arrears.

The probability of failing to recover is determined by past experience, adjusted for changes in external factors. The accuracy of the impairment calculation would therefore be affected by unexpected changes to the economic situation, and to changes in customer behaviour. To the extent that the failure to recover debts in arrears alters by 1%, the provision for impairment would increase or decrease by £0.6 million (2012: £0.5 million).

Pension benefits
The present value of the pension obligations is dependent on the actuarial calculation, which includes a number of assumptions. These assumptions include the discount rate, which is used to calculate the present value of the estimated future cash outflows that will be required to meet the pension obligations. In determining the discount rate to use, the group considers market yields of high quality corporate bonds, denominated in sterling, that have times to maturity approximating the terms of the pension liability. Were this discount rate to reduce or increase by 0.1%, the carrying value of the pension obligations as at 31 March 2013 would increase or reduce by £8.0 million (2012: £6.1 million).

Measured income accrual
Revenue includes an accrual for unbilled charges at the year-end. The accrual is estimated using a defined methodology based upon the weighted average water consumption by tariff, which is calculated using historical billing information adjusted for changes in external factors, such as weather. The total accrual as at 31 March 2013 was £65.9 million (2012: £61.6 million). A 1% change in actual consumption from that estimated would have the effect of increasing or decreasing the accrual by £0.7 million (2012: £0.6 million).

2. Segmental information
The directors consider that there is only one operating segment, being the operation of water and sewerage business in the UK. As the group has only domestic activities there is also only one geographical segment; therefore, the disclosures for this segment have also already been given in these financial statements.
3. Loss before taxation
The following items have been included in arriving at the loss before taxation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operating charges</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Power</td>
<td>38.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chemicals</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Materials and equipment</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Vehicles and plant</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Office expenses</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Property costs</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Insurance</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Sewerage contractors</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Water costs</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Customer services contract</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Laboratories and analytical services</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Collection commissions</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IT contracts</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Bought-in services and other costs</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee costs</strong> (note 19)</td>
<td>101.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff costs capitalised</td>
<td>(27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and development expenditure</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables impairment</td>
<td>27.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment Agency charges</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees payable to auditors</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total operational expenditure</strong></td>
<td>295.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure renewals expenditure</strong></td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Depreciation and amortisation</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Owned assets</td>
<td>112.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Under finance leases</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Amortisation of intangible assets</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Profit on disposal of property, plant and equipment</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>158.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>533.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The customer services contract with Veolia Water Outsourcing Limited was terminated during the year and, from 1 August 2012, provided by a group company, Dŵr Cymru Customer Services Limited (DCCS). The operational expenditure of DCCS is consolidated within the relevant cost categories above and not shown as customer services contract expenditure.
**Services provided by the group’s auditors**

During the year, the group obtained the following services from auditors:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services provided by the group’s auditors</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2013 £000</th>
<th>2012 £000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory audit of parent company and consolidated financial statements</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory audit of subsidiary companies</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total statutory audit fees</strong></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Audit-related fees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of interim financial statements</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory audit services pursuant to legislation</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor report reviews</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond prospectus update and bond issue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total audit and audit-related fees</strong></td>
<td>171</td>
<td>182</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax advisory services</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total other services</strong></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total cost of services provided by the group’s auditors</strong></td>
<td>195</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory audit services include audit work on the Regulatory Accounts and Principal Statement.

In addition to the above services, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP acted as auditors to the DCWW Pension Scheme. The appointment of auditors to the pension scheme and the fees paid in respect of the audit are agreed by the trustees of the scheme, who act independently from the management of the group. The fees paid in respect of audit services to the pension scheme during the year were £13,000 (2012: £13,000).

The Board has adopted a formal policy with respect to services received from external auditors. The external auditors will not be used for internal audit services and all non-audit work above a threshold of £25,000 will be subject to prior competitive tendering and approval by the Audit Committee.
4. Financing costs
a) Net interest before fair value losses on derivative financial instruments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013 £m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest payable on bonds</td>
<td>(85.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexation on index-linked bonds</td>
<td>(38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest payable on finance leases (including swaps to RPI)</td>
<td>(28.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other loan interest</td>
<td>(12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other interest payable and finance costs</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net interest charge on pension scheme liabilities</td>
<td>(1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting profit on termination of lease</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitalisation of borrowing costs under IAS 23 (2013 4.9%; 2012: 6.8%)</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(141.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest receivable</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net interest payable before fair value adjustments | (134.3) | (184.8)

b) Fair value losses on derivative financial instruments
Derivative financial instruments are held for economic hedging purposes although they do not qualify as accounting hedges under IAS 39. Consequently, the group's interest rate and index-linked swaps are fair valued at each balance sheet date with the net loss or gain disclosed in the income statement. Over the life of these swaps, if held to maturity, these fair value adjustments will reverse and reduce to zero. (See note 14 in respect of derivative financial instruments held on the balance sheet).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013 £m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value losses on interest rate swaps</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value losses on index-linked swaps</td>
<td>(48.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fair value losses on derivative financial instruments</td>
<td>(61.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Interest rate swap losses are caused by a fall in long-term swap rates, while the index-linked swap losses result from a fall in the value of index-linked gilts and fluctuations in 3-month LIBOR.
5. Taxation

Analysis of credit in the year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2013 £m</th>
<th>2012 £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Current period</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adjustment in respect of prior years</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deferred tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Origination and reversal of timing differences</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(30.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Adjustment in respect of prior year</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Effect of tax rate change</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total deferred tax (note 6)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Taxation credit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysed as:

- Credit to Income Statement | 13.8 | 27.0 |
- Charge to Statement of Comprehensive Income | (0.9) | 6.2 |

Total taxation credit | 12.9 | 33.2 |

Tax trading losses carried forward as at 31 March 2013 are circa £400m (2012: circa £400m).

The effective rate of tax for the year is lower (2012: lower) than the standard rate of corporation tax in the UK (2013: 24%, 2012: 26%). The differences are explained below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>2013 £m</th>
<th>2012 £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss before tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>(12.2)</td>
<td>(131.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Loss before tax multiplied by the corporation tax rate in the UK of 24% (2012: 26%)</strong></td>
<td>(2.9)</td>
<td>(34.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of:

- Adjustments in respect of prior years | 0.2 | 27.9 |
- Other permanent differences | (0.2) | 1.1 |
- Effect of tax rate change | (10.9) | (21.9) |
- Movement on deferred tax asset relating to pension scheme | 0.9 | (6.2) |

**Total taxation credit** | (12.9) | (33.2) |
6. Deferred tax
Deferred tax is calculated in full on temporary differences under the liability method using a tax rate of 23% (2012: 24%).

The movement in the deferred tax provision is as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April</td>
<td>256.4</td>
<td>285.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit to Income Statement</td>
<td>(13.4)</td>
<td>(23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge to Statement of Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March</td>
<td>243.9</td>
<td>256.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of:</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Tax allowances in excess of depreciation</td>
<td>441.7</td>
<td>450.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Capital gains rolled over</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>445.4</td>
<td>454.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deferred tax on tax losses carried forward</td>
<td>(109.3)</td>
<td>(114.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deferred tax on losses on derivative financial instruments</td>
<td>(82.9)</td>
<td>(71.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pensions</td>
<td>(7.4)</td>
<td>(7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other tax differences</td>
<td>(1.9)</td>
<td>(3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net provision for deferred tax</strong></td>
<td>243.9</td>
<td>256.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deferred tax assets have been recognised in respect of all tax losses and other temporary differences giving rise to deferred tax assets because it is probable that these assets will be recovered. Under the current tax regime, trading tax losses carried forward will be available to offset trading profits in future periods.

The Government has announced that the corporation tax rate will gradually reduce from 23% to 20% with effect from 1 April 2015, and these proposals are contained in this year’s Finance Bill. Assuming that the change to the corporation tax rates is enacted later this year, the effect of the 3% reduction in tax rates will be taken into account for the year ended 31 March 2014 in calculating the deferred tax provision at that date. As at 31 March 2013, a 3% reduction in corporation tax rates would reduce the deferred tax provision by circa £30 million.

The change in corporation tax to 23% has been substantively enacted at the balance sheet date and as such has been recognised in these financial statements.

The company has no deferred tax balance.
7. Property, plant and equipment

**Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current year</th>
<th>Freehold land &amp; buildings</th>
<th>Infrastructure assets</th>
<th>Operational structures</th>
<th>Plant, equipment, computer hardware</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2012</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1,627.1</td>
<td>2,920.7</td>
<td>237.4</td>
<td>4,820.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions net of grants and contributions</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>196.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>251.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2013</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>1,680.3</td>
<td>3,117.4</td>
<td>238.8</td>
<td>5,072.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accumulated depreciation**

|                           |                       |                       |                       |                                   |       |
| At 1 April 2012 | 17.9                  | 212.3                 | 1,132.8               | 225.4                             | 1,588.4 |
| Charge for the year | 0.4               | 24.3                  | 113.3                 | 9.7                               | 147.7  |
| At 31 March 2013 | 18.3                  | 236.6                 | 1,246.1               | 235.1                             | 1,736.1 |

**Net book value**

|                                |                       |                       |                       |                                   |       |
| At 31 March 2013 | 17.4                  | 1,443.7               | 1,871.3               | 3.7                               | 3,336.1 |

The net book value of property, plant and equipment includes £140.1m in respect of assets in the course of construction (2012: £106.8m).

The net book value of property, plant and equipment includes £20.2m of borrowing costs capitalised in accordance with IAS 23 (2012: £16.6m), of which £6.2m were additions in the year (2012: £6.2m).

On 1 October 2011 Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig assumed responsibility for managing the private sewers network in its operational area. The transfer of an estimated 17,000km of private drains and sewers has increased the size of the network significantly. Little information is available to judge the condition of those sewers – and any attributable value – but they are typically expected to be poor and below the standard of assets that the industry is generally required to operate. In light of this, and the fact that they do not generate an increase in the regulatory capital value of the business, a value of nil has been attributed to these assets in the financial statements as at 31 March 2013 (2012: nil).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior year</th>
<th>Freehold land &amp; buildings</th>
<th>Infrastructure assets</th>
<th>Operational structures</th>
<th>Plant, equipment, computer hardware</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2011</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>1,586.8</td>
<td>2,770.6</td>
<td>234.7</td>
<td>4,627.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additions net of grants and contributions</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>150.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>193.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2012</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1,627.1</td>
<td>2,920.7</td>
<td>237.4</td>
<td>4,820.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Accumulated depreciation**

|                           |                       |                       |                       |                                   |       |
| At 1 April 2011 | 17.3                  | 188.4                 | 1,037.1               | 205.3                             | 1,448.1 |
| Charge for the year | 0.6               | 23.9                  | 95.7                  | 20.1                               | 140.3  |
| At 31 March 2012 | 17.9                  | 212.3                 | 1,132.8               | 225.4                             | 1,588.4 |

**Net book value**

|                                |                       |                       |                       |                                   |       |
| At 31 March 2012 | 17.2                  | 1,414.8               | 1,787.9               | 12.0                               | 3,231.9 |
**Assets held under finance leases**

Included within the above are assets held under finance leases as analysed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Current year</th>
<th>Infrastructure assets</th>
<th>Operational structures</th>
<th>Total £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31 March 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>611.8</td>
<td>307.7</td>
<td>919.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(82.4)</td>
<td>(170.0)</td>
<td>(252.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Net book value</td>
<td>529.4</td>
<td>137.7</td>
<td>667.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Current year</th>
<th>Infrastructure assets</th>
<th>Operational structures</th>
<th>Total £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31 March 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>611.8</td>
<td>483.9</td>
<td>1,095.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>(74.7)</td>
<td>(251.6)</td>
<td>(326.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Net book value at 31 March 2012</td>
<td>537.1</td>
<td>232.3</td>
<td>769.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parent company owns no property, plant or equipment.

8. **Intangible assets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Current year</th>
<th>Cost £m</th>
<th>Amortisation £m</th>
<th>Net book value £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 1 April 2012</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>(75.6)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additions/(charge for the year)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>(13.0)</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31 March 2013</td>
<td>154.7</td>
<td>(88.6)</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Current year</th>
<th>Cost £m</th>
<th>Amortisation £m</th>
<th>Net book value £m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 1 April 2011</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>(64.2)</td>
<td>65.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additions/(charge for the year)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>(11.4)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At 31 March 2012</td>
<td>142.2</td>
<td>(75.6)</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intangible assets comprise computer software and related system developments.

The net book value of intangible assets includes £13.0m in respect of assets in the course of construction (2012: £12.9m). The net book value of intangible assets includes £1.1m of borrowing costs capitalised in accordance with IAS 23 (2012: £0.9m), of which £0.3m were additions in the year (2012: £0.5m).

The parent company owns no intangible assets.
9. Investments
(a) Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost and net book value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April and 31 March</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equity of less than 10% is held in the following unlisted company:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal activities</th>
<th>Country of incorporation</th>
<th>Holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Research Centre</td>
<td>Water research</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1989) plc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the group holds 5% Convertible Unsecured Loan Stock 2014 at a cost of £23,326 in Water Research Centre (1989) plc.

(b) Parent Company

The company has a £1 investment in Glas Cymru (Securities) Cyfyngedig (100% holding) and has indirect investments in the following subsidiary undertakings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal activities</th>
<th>Country of incorporation</th>
<th>Holding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dŵr Cymru (Holdings) Limited</td>
<td>Holding company</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig</td>
<td>Water and sewerage</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dŵr Cymru (Financing) Limited</td>
<td>Raising finance</td>
<td>Cayman Islands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welsh Water Utilities Finance plc</td>
<td>Dormant</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dŵr Cymru Customer Services Limited</td>
<td>Income and billing services</td>
<td>England and Wales</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further information on the group's structure is available in the Annual Report on page 22.

10. Trade and other receivables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables</td>
<td>498.5</td>
<td>487.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision for impairment of receivables</td>
<td>(61.4)</td>
<td>(54.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade receivables – net</td>
<td>437.1</td>
<td>433.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepayments and accrued income</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>66.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporation tax</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other receivables</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>526.1</td>
<td>511.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-current</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts receivable from group undertakings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total trade and other receivables</td>
<td>526.1</td>
<td>511.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All non-current receivables are due within five years from the balance sheet date.

As at 31 March 2013, based on a review of historical collection rates it was considered that £61.4m of trade receivables were impaired and these have therefore been provided for (2012: £54.6m). The impaired receivables relate mainly to the supply of measured and unmeasured water. Trade receivables aged greater than one month are past due; the net column shows amounts deemed not to be impaired.
The ageing of receivables was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Provided for</th>
<th>Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trade receivables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billed in advance</td>
<td>389.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>389.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under one month</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>(4.2)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between one and six months</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>(13.1)</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between six months and one year</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>(9.9)</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between one and two years</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>(18.7)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between two and three years</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>(14.2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over three years</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>(1.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>498.5</td>
<td>(61.4)</td>
<td>437.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximum exposure to credit risks at the reporting date is the carrying value of each class of receivable mentioned above. The group does not hold any collateral as security.

Movements in the provision for impairment of trade receivables are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charge to Income Statement</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>26.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivables written off during the year as uncollectable</td>
<td>(19.9)</td>
<td>(19.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The creation and release of provision for impaired receivables have been included in operational expenditure.

The other classes of trade and other receivables do not contain impaired assets. All trade and other receivables are denominated in sterling.

During the year the group has written off £19.9m of debt which had been provided for in full (2012: £19.7m).
11. Cash and cash equivalents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash at bank and in hand</strong></td>
<td>(13.7)</td>
<td>(15.9)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short-term deposits</strong></td>
<td>171.1</td>
<td>316.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>300.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effective interest rate on short-term deposits as at 31 March 2013 was 0.4% (2012: 0.7%) and these deposits had an average maturity of 12 days (2012: 31 days). All cash and cash equivalents are held in sterling.

12. Trade and other payables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade payables</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital payables</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amounts due to group undertakings</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxation and social security</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accruals and deferred income</td>
<td>456.0</td>
<td>456.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>542.6</td>
<td>534.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 13. Financial liabilities – borrowings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest accruals</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortised bond premium</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortised bond issue costs</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
<td>(0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank loans</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority loans</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease obligations</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>77.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-current</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest accruals</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>1,938.4</td>
<td>1,899.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortised bond premium</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unamortised bond issue costs</td>
<td>(5.6)</td>
<td>(5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KfW Bank loan</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank loans</td>
<td>197.5</td>
<td>211.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority loans</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease obligations</td>
<td>602.0</td>
<td>722.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,815.2</td>
<td>2,912.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The parent company has no borrowings.

A security package was granted by Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig (DCC), as part of the group’s bond programme for the benefit of holders of senior bonds, finance lessors and other senior financial creditors.

The obligations of DCC are guaranteed by the company, Glas Cymru (Securities) Cyfyngedig and Dŵr Cymru (Holdings) Limited. The main elements of the security package are:

i) a first fixed and floating security over all of DCC’s assets and undertaking, to the extent permitted by the Water Industry Act, other applicable law and its licence; and

ii) a fixed and floating security given by the guarantors referred to above which are accrued on each of these companies’ assets including, in the case of Dŵr Cymru (Holdings) Limited, a first fixed charge over its shares in DCC.

The group’s Class A Bonds of £969.5m (2012: £950.5m) benefit from a guarantee from MBIA UK Insurance Limited (‘MBIA’). MBIA’s credit rating has been reduced to B3 and BBB+ by Moody’s and S&P respectively, and is no longer rated by Fitch. The credit rating of the Class A bonds has therefore defaulted to the higher underlying rating of these bonds, of A3/A/A from Moody’s, S&P and Fitch respectively. The underlying rating reflects the standalone credit quality of these bonds without the benefit of the MBIA guarantee, and is the same as the credit ratings of the group’s Class B bonds of £968.9m (2012: £949.0m).
### 14. Derivative financial instruments

Derivative financial instruments are held for economic hedging purposes. However, they do not qualify as accounting hedges under IAS 39 and movements in their fair value are taken to the Income Statement (see note 4b). The fair values of all derivative financial instruments held by the group are the result of mark-to-market pricing by the issuing counterparties and as such fall within level 2 of the fair value hierarchy set out in IFRS 7.

#### Group - 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fair values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index-linked swaps</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index-linked swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group - 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fair values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index-linked swaps</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-current</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index-linked swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest rate swaps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with IAS 39, ‘Financial instruments: Recognition and Measurement’, the group has reviewed all contracts for embedded derivatives that are required to be accounted for separately if they do not meet certain requirements set out in the standard. The group has no such embedded derivatives as per IAS 39.

The parent company has no derivative financial instruments or embedded derivatives.

#### Interest rate swaps

At 31 March 2013 an interest rate swap fixes the interest rate on £192m (2012: £192m) of floating liabilities held by the group. The maturity date of the swap is 31 March 2031 and the quarterly LIBOR fixed interest rate is 5.67%. In addition, £50m (2012: £50m) of finance lease liabilities have been swapped from a floating to a fixed LIBOR rate of 3.57% until March 2017. The notional amount of the swap is £50m (2012: £50m).
Index-linked swaps

Finance lease swaps
The index-linked swaps have the effect of index-linking the interest rate on £439m (2012: £549m) of finance lease liabilities by reference to the Retail Prices Index ('RPI').

The notional amount of index-linked swaps allocated to finance leases as at 31 March 2013 is £441m (2012: £528m), representing the average balance on the finance leases subject to floating interest rates for the year to 31 March 2013. The notional amount amortises over the life of the swaps to match the average floating rate balances of the leases.

The principal terms are as follows:
- Notional amount: £441m amortising (2012: £528m amortising)
- Average swap maturity: 24 years (2012: 24 years)
- Average interest rate: 1.63% fixed plus RPI (2012: 1.59% fixed plus RPI)

On 17 December 2012 the group repaid two leases which were index-linked through inflation swaps. These inflation swaps have a notional value of £87 million (2012: £99 million) and have been reallocated to index-linked European Investment Bank debt.

Bond swap
The index-linked swaps have the effect of index-linking the interest rate on £100m of fixed rate bonds by reference to the RPI.

The principal terms are as follows:
- Indexed notional amount: £124m (2012: £120m)
- Swap maturity: 44 years (2012: 45 years)
- Interest rate: 1.35% indexed by RPI (2012: 1.35% indexed by RPI)

15. Financial risk management
The policies of the group in respect of financial risk management are included in the accounting policies note on page 50. The numerical financial instrument disclosures as required by IFRS 7 are set out below.

a) Interest rate risk
The effective interest rates at the balance sheet dates were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank loans</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KfW loan</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority loans</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease obligations</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trade and other receivables and payables are non interest-bearing.

The effective interest rates ignore the effect of the interest rate and index-linked swaps set out in note 14. They also exclude the indexation charge applicable to the index-linked bonds.
### b) Liquidity risk

#### Group - 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Within 1 year</th>
<th>1 - 2 years</th>
<th>2 - 5 years</th>
<th>&gt; 5 years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>157.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other receivables</td>
<td>526.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>526.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>683.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>683.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1,944.3</td>
<td>1,947.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KfW Bank loan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank loans</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>128.1</td>
<td>211.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority loans</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease obligations</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>83.8</td>
<td>474.9</td>
<td>616.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>505.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>573.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>534.0</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>173.7</td>
<td>2,616.7</td>
<td>3,384.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Group - 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Within 1 year</th>
<th>1 - 2 years</th>
<th>2 - 5 years</th>
<th>&gt; 5 years</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assets:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>300.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other receivables</td>
<td>507.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>507.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>807.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>807.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liabilities:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1,905.8</td>
<td>1,908.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KfW Bank loan</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>35.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Investment Bank loans</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>224.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local authority loans</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance lease obligations</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>587.8</td>
<td>734.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and other payables</td>
<td>504.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>561.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>530.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>2,694.4</td>
<td>3,465.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**
The minimum lease payments under finance leases fall due as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross finance lease liabilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within one year</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between two and five years</td>
<td>153.9</td>
<td>192.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After five years</td>
<td>542.4</td>
<td>719.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>717.4</td>
<td>938.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Future interest</strong></td>
<td>(101.1)</td>
<td>(204.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net finance lease liabilities</strong></td>
<td>616.3</td>
<td>734.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net finance lease liabilities are repayable as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within one year (note 14)</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between two and five years</td>
<td>127.1</td>
<td>134.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After five years</td>
<td>474.9</td>
<td>587.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total over one year (note 14)</strong></td>
<td>602.0</td>
<td>722.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Fair values

The fair values of the group’s derivative financial instruments are set out in note 14. The following table summarises the fair value and book value of the group’s bonds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Book value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds (note 14)</td>
<td>1,947.4</td>
<td>1,908.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fair value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,498.7</td>
<td>2,268.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The fair values of all other financial instruments are equal to the book values.

d) Borrowing facilities

As at 31 March 2013, the group had available undrawn committed borrowing facilities of £215m expiring as set out below, in respect of which all conditions precedent had been met (2012: £215m).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expiring in less than 1 year:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- term loan facility</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expiring in more than 1 year:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- revolving credit facilities</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig also has a £10m overdraft facility renewable on an annual basis.

The group has £140m of revolving credit facilities, of which £50m is available to be drawn until May 2016 and £90m is available until May 2017.

At 31 March 2013, Dŵr Cymru (Financing) Limited had a special liquidity facility of £135m (2012: £135m) which it is required to maintain in order to meet certain group interest and other obligations that cannot be funded through operating cash flow of the group, in the event of a standstill being declared by the Security Trustee. A standstill would occur in the event that Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig defaults on its debt financing covenants. No such covenant default has arisen during the year.

The facility is renewable on an annual basis.

All of the above facilities are at floating rates of interest.
e) Capital risk management

Gearing ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total borrowings</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net debt</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory capital value (RCV)</td>
<td>4,344</td>
<td>4,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: unamortised bond costs</td>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total capital per bond covenants</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gearing ratio</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As set out on page 51, the group monitors its capital structure based on a regulatory gearing ratio which compares its net debt to the Ofwat-determined RCV.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Restructuring provision</th>
<th>Dilapidation provision</th>
<th>Uninsured loss provision</th>
<th>Other provisions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April 2012</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charged to income statement</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilised in year</td>
<td>(3.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(2.7)</td>
<td>(0.5)</td>
<td>(6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March 2013</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Split as:

- Amounts to be utilised within one year: 7.0 - 2.0 - 2.0 - 1.5 - 10.5
- Amounts to be utilised after more than one year: - 2.1 5.2 2.8 10.1

At 31 March 2013

- 7.0  2.1  7.2  4.3  20.6

The parent company has no provisions at 31 March 2013 (2012: £nil).

Restructuring provision

This provides for the costs of terminating the outsourced contracts with United Utilities Operational Services and Kelda Water Services in the year to 31 March 2011 along with the estimated restructuring costs associated with a reduction in the headcount by some 300.

Dilapidations provision

This provision relates to estimated dilapidation costs, which will be incurred over the next five years.

Uninsured loss provision

This provision is in respect of uninsured losses and instances where insurance does not cover a deductible amount. The utilisation period of these liabilities is uncertain due to the nature of claims, but is estimated to be within five years.

Other provisions

Other provisions are made for certain other obligations which arise during the ordinary course of the group's business.
17. **Net cash inflow from operating activities**

a) **Cash generated from operations**

Reconciliation of operating profit to cash generated from operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 2013</th>
<th>Group 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating profit</td>
<td>183.3</td>
<td>191.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adjustments for:

- **Depreciation and amortisation**
- **Changes in working capital:**
  - Increase in trade and other receivables
  - (Increase)/decrease in inventory
  - Increase in trade and other payables
  - Pension contributions below/(above) service cost
  - Increase/(decrease) in provisions

Cash generated from operations 326.0 337.5

b) **Interest paid**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group 2013</th>
<th>Group 2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest payable per income statement</td>
<td>141.3</td>
<td>189.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less non-cash items:

- **Indexation on index-linked bonds**
- **Amortisation of bond issue costs**
- **Interest charge on pension scheme liabilities**
- **Amortisation of bond issue premium**
- **Effect of capitalisation under IAS 23**
- **Accounting profit on lease termination**
- **Decrease/(increase) in accruals**

Interest paid 124.5 98.0
18. Analysis and reconciliation of net debt

a) Net debt at the balance sheet date may be analysed as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and cash equivalents</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td>300.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt due after one year</td>
<td>(2,174.7)</td>
<td>(2,149.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt due within one year</td>
<td>(14.1)</td>
<td>(14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance leases</td>
<td>(616.3)</td>
<td>(734.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued interest</td>
<td>(89.8)</td>
<td>(92.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2,894.9)</td>
<td>(2,990.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net (debt)/cash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,737.5)</td>
<td>(2,689.6)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) The movement in net debt during the year may be summarised as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net (debt)/cash at start of year</td>
<td>(2,689.6)</td>
<td>(2,662.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in net cash</td>
<td>(143.1)</td>
<td>202.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in debt arising from cashflows</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>(131.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in net debt arising from cash flows</td>
<td>(25.7)</td>
<td>70.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in accrued interest</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>(46.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indexation of index-linked debt</td>
<td>(38.9)</td>
<td>(52.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting profit on lease termination</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other non-cash movements</td>
<td>(0.1)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Movement in net debt during the year</td>
<td>(47.9)</td>
<td>(27.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net (debt)/cash at end of year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2,737.5)</td>
<td>(2,689.6)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19. Employees and Directors

Staff costs for the group during the year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>£m</td>
<td>£m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wages and salaries</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>67.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social security costs</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pension costs</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>79.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the above, £27.3m (2012: £21.4m) has been capitalised.

Average monthly number of people employed by the group (including executive directors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulated water and sewerage activities</td>
<td>2,617</td>
<td>1,931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since Glas Cymru was formed in 2001 income and billing services have been provided by Veolia Water Outsourcing Limited (VWO). On 1 August 2012 some 400 VWO employees TUPE transferred into Dŵr Cymru Customer Services Limited (a wholly owned subsidiary of Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig) following the termination of the customer services contract.

20. Pension commitments

The group operates a funded defined benefit pension scheme for current employees (based on final pensionable salary and pensionable service), the DCWW Pension Scheme. The assets of the scheme are held in a separate trustee-administered fund.

The DCWW Pension Scheme was closed to new members from 31 December 2005 and a new defined contribution scheme, the Dŵr Cymru Defined Contribution Scheme, was introduced from 1 January 2006.

Defined benefit scheme

A full actuarial valuation of the scheme was undertaken as at 31 March 2011 by Robert Davies of Quantum Advisory, an independent, professionally qualified actuary, using the projected unit method. This valuation has been updated as at 31 March 2013 and the principal assumptions made by the actuaries were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discount rate</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation assumption</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of increase in pensionable salaries</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of increase in pensions in payment</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post retirement mortality (life expectancy):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Current pensioners aged 65 - males</td>
<td>86.9 years</td>
<td>87.7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Current pensioners aged 65 - females</td>
<td>89.6 years</td>
<td>90.4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Future pensioners aged 65 (currently aged 45) - males</td>
<td>89.8 years</td>
<td>90.7 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Future pensioners aged 65 (currently aged 45) - females</td>
<td>92.5 years</td>
<td>93.3 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Post retirement mortality assumptions are based on those in published actuarial tables ‘PA92’, relevant to members’ year of birth with long cohort adjustments.
The major categories of plan assets, as a percentage of total assets and the expected long-term rates of return thereon, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Expected return</th>
<th>2013 % of total assets</th>
<th>Expected return</th>
<th>2012 % of total assets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>54.8%</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>50.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>36.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The amounts recognised in the Income Statement are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost (excluding member contributions)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past service cost</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilisation of restructuring provision</td>
<td>(2.2)</td>
<td>(2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total included within staff costs</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets</td>
<td>(12.7)</td>
<td>(13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total included within interest payable and similar charges</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recognised in the Income Statement</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the defined contribution scheme charge of £0.9m (2012: £0.4m) has also been included within staff costs.

The amounts recognised in the Statement of Comprehensive Income are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial gain/(loss) on plan assets</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>(9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial loss on defined benefit obligation</td>
<td>(15.6)</td>
<td>(16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total recognised in the Statement of Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>(25.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total amount recognised in the Balance Sheet is made up as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present value of funded obligations</td>
<td>(323.3)</td>
<td>(272.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus unrecognised prior service costs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of plan assets</td>
<td>291.2</td>
<td>240.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net liability recognised in the Balance Sheet</strong></td>
<td>(32.1)</td>
<td>(31.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EFRBS unfunded liability</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net liability recognised in the Balance Sheet</strong></td>
<td>(32.8)</td>
<td>(31.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in the present value of the defined benefit obligation are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April</td>
<td>272.8</td>
<td>238.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current service cost (including member contributions)</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past service cost (vested benefits)</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest cost</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid (net of transfers in)</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td>(6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk transfer of liabilities</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial loss</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March</td>
<td>323.3</td>
<td>272.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes in the fair value of plan assets are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April</td>
<td>240.7</td>
<td>222.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expected return on plan assets</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions (including member contributions)</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits paid (net of transfers in)</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td>(6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk transfer of assets</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuarial gain/(loss) on plan assets</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>(9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March</td>
<td>291.2</td>
<td>240.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Analysis of the movement in the amount recognised on the balance sheet:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At 1 April</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total charge to Income Statement</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including utilisation of restructuring provision)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total charge to Statement of Comprehensive Income</td>
<td>(2.3)</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk transfer (net liability)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contributions paid (excluding member contributions)</td>
<td>(11.2)</td>
<td>(19.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 31 March</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experience adjustments arising on scheme assets:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount (£m)</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>(9.5)</td>
<td>(8.2)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>(9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of scheme assets</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
<td>(4%)</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>(29%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experience adjustments arising on scheme liabilities:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount (£m)</td>
<td>(15.7)</td>
<td>(16.4)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>(1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of the present value of scheme liabilities</td>
<td>(5%)</td>
<td>(6%)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>(3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present value of scheme liabilities (£m)</td>
<td>323.3</td>
<td>272.8</td>
<td>238.4</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair value of scheme assets (£m)</td>
<td>291.1</td>
<td>240.7</td>
<td>222.9</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit (£m)</td>
<td>(32.1)</td>
<td>(31.9)</td>
<td>(15.5)</td>
<td>(8.0)</td>
<td>(7.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The contributions paid in the year to 31 March 2013 include a special contribution of £0.5m (2012: £2.6m). A further £0.9m was paid into the scheme to augment the benefits in respect of scheme members who left the company via selective voluntary severance (2012: £8.0m). The special contribution expected to be paid in line with the extant schedule of contributions during the financial year ended 31 March 2014 amounts to nil.
21. Capital and other financial commitments
The group's business plan at 31 March 2013 shows net capital expenditure and infrastructure renewals expenditure of £313m (2012: £310m) during the next financial year. While only a portion of this amount has been formally contracted for, the group is effectively committed to the total as part of its overall capital expenditure programme approved by its regulator.

22. Related party transactions
In accordance with the exemption afforded by IAS 24 there is no disclosure in the consolidated financial statements of transactions with entities that are part of the Glas Cymru Cyfyngedig group. The parent company has not entered into transactions with any other group company during the year (2012: none).

23. Status of the company
The company is limited by guarantee and does not have any share capital. In the event of the company being wound up, the liability of the members is limited to £1 each.

24. Elan Valley Trust Fund
In 1984 Welsh Water Authority entered into a conditional sale and purchase agreement with Severn Trent Water Authority for the sale of the aqueduct and associated works by which the bulk supply to Severn Trent reservoirs is conveyed.

The sum of £31.7m, representing the consideration for the conditional sale, was invested in a trust fund. The principal function of the fund was to provide an income to Welsh Water Authority, whilst preserving the capital value of the fund in real terms. Welsh Water Authority’s interest in this fund was vested in Dŵr Cymru Cyfyngedig under the provisions of the Water Act 1989.

The assets of the fund are not included in these financial statements. As at 31 March 2013 the market value of the trust fund was £112m (2012: £110m).

Interest receivable includes £4.6m (2012 £2.7m) in respect of distributions from the Elan Valley Trust Fund.

25. Contingent liabilities
There were no contingent liabilities other than those arising from in ordinary course of the group's business and on these no material losses are anticipated.